

2018

HOSPITAL AUDIT GUIDE

DEPARTMENT OF EXAMINERS OF PUBLIC
ACCOUNTS



Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	EFFECTIVE DATE	3
III.	CHIEF EXAMINER TO RECEIVE NOTIFICATION OF ENGAGEMENT	3
IV.	CONTACTS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF EXAMINERS OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS	4
V.	AUDIT SCOPE	4
VI.	STANDARDS OF FIELD WORK	5
VII.	PROCEDURES FOR FIELD WORK	7
VIII.	STANDARDS OF REPORTING	7
	A. Financial Statements	7
	B. Required Supplementary Information (RSI)	9
	C. Financial and Legal Compliance Audits	12
	<u>1.</u> Auditee’s Responsibility	13
	2. Auditor’s Reports	13
	D. Additional Reporting Requirements	14
IX.	PROCEDURES FOR REPORTING	14
	A. Form and Content	14
	B. SAFE Program	15
	C. Special Reports	16
	D. Audit Report Distribution	17
	E. Additional Statements on Auditing Standards and Accounting Pronouncements	17
	APPENDIX I	19
	Independent Auditor's Report	20
	SAMPLE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	24
	NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	29
	REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	55
	PENSION PLANS:	56
	OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB) PLANS	56
	All Single and Agent Employers:	56
	Cost-Sharing Employers.....	57
	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	59
	Board Members and Officials	60
	REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	61
	REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS (Reportable Material Instances of noncompliance and significant deficiencies)	64
	Auditee Response	67

APPENDIX II	68
PROLOGUE	69
Public Hospital Corporations	70

I. INTRODUCTION

This manual was prepared and promulgated by the State of Alabama, Department of Examiners of Public Accounts (EPA) under the authority and responsibility provided by Act No. 205, *Acts of Alabama 1967*, page 569. Act No. 205 provides that certified public accountants, subject to the control of the Alabama State Board of Public Accountancy, may audit the books and records of publicly owned hospitals, nursing homes, and other publicly owned medical institutions. These audits must be performed in accordance with procedures promulgated by the Chief Examiner of Public Accounts.

Specifically, this manual establishes uniform auditing and reporting standards for audits of county hospitals which fulfill requirements of the *Code of Alabama, 1975*, § 22-21-4 and § 41-5-1 through 41-5-24¹. This manual also requires that audits of hospitals be made in accordance with the following:

Generally Accepted Auditing Standards as promulgated by the Auditing Standards Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA)

Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States

AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide, “State and Local Governments” and “Government Auditing Standards and Single Audits”.

As additional statements and pronouncements are issued by the authoritative accounting and auditing standards setting bodies, they should be adopted and incorporated into this manual unless they are specifically excluded by the Department of Examiners of Public Accounts.

¹ Act 2018-129, Acts of Alabama, repealed Sections 41-5-1 through 41-5-24 which established the Department of Examiners of Public Accounts and created a new section of the Code 41-5A-1 through 41-5A-22.

NOTE: As a general rule, Medicaid arrangements between the state and providers are contracts for services and not federal financial assistance; therefore, they would not be covered by the Single Audit Act.

If you have any questions concerning the necessary reports for a particular entity, contact the Department of Examiners of Public Accounts, Coordinator of Hospital Audits.

II. EFFECTIVE DATE

The provisions of this manual are effective immediately upon issuance.

III. CHIEF EXAMINER TO RECEIVE NOTIFICATION OF ENGAGEMENT

The *Code of Alabama 1975*, Section 22-21-4, states “*The books and records of publicly owned hospitals, nursing homes, rest homes or any other publicly owned medical institution may, upon request of the governing board of the particular institution, be audited annually by any certified public accountant who is subject to the control of the Alabama State Board of Public Accountancy. The selection of the certified public accountant to perform the audit shall be the responsibility of the governing board of the particular institution.... The audit to be performed by the certified public accountant shall...comply with the procedures promulgated by the Chief Examiner of Public Accounts*”. In accordance with the *Code of Alabama 1975*, the governing board of all public hospitals shall notify this office of any audit engagement. Acceptable forms of notification include: a copy of the engagement letter signed by a representative of the governing board; or, a letter of transmittal signed by a representative of the governing board accompanying an engagement letter. The engagement letter must include a statement that the audit will be performed in accordance with the Hospital Audit Guide published by the Alabama Department of Examiners of Public Accounts.

IV. CONTACTS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF EXAMINERS OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The following address should be used for correspondence:

Chief Examiner of Public Accounts
Department of Examiners of Public Accounts
P. O. Box 302251
Montgomery, AL 36130-2251
Attention: Coordinator of Hospital Audits

The Department will provide technical assistance upon request. Requests may be made in writing or by telephone at (334) 242-9200. Contacts with the Chief Examiner should be made by the auditor when:

- a. the auditor is engaged
- b. evidence of fraud, abuse, irregularities or illegal acts is discovered
- c. there is uncertainty about audit requirements
- d. the auditor cannot gain access to necessary records
- e. the report is completed and ready for submission to the Chief Examiner

V. AUDIT SCOPE

The scope of the audit of the financial statements must be sufficient to enable the auditor to report on the following:

- a. Fairness of presentation of the financial statements as to the financial position and the results of operations in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.
- b. Compliance with applicable state and local governmental laws and regulations, as well as applicable legal opinions and interpretations (i.e., ordinances and Attorney General's opinions).
- c. The internal control of the Hospital.

The audit should include all funds under the supervision and control of the Hospital as well as all component units required to be included as part of the reporting entity by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

VI. STANDARDS OF FIELD WORK

Audits are to be performed in conformity with generally accepted auditing standards and generally accepted government auditing standards contained in the Yellow Book that pertain to financial audits.

Procedures used during field work should be guided by *State and Local Governments* and *Government Auditing Standards and Single Audits* issued by the AICPA and any applicable Statements of Position (SOP) issued by the AICPA. The auditor is not limited to these procedures and should use such procedures as are necessary to perform an audit of sufficient scope according to the required standards.

The Department of Examiners of Public Accounts (EPA) has adopted certain additions to the standards for field work as described for financial audits in the Yellow Book. EPA additions to the Yellow Book standards for field work are as follows:

- a. Yellow Book standards require the auditor to design the audit to provide reasonable assurance of detecting misstatements resulting from violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements that have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts or other financial data significant to the audit objectives. The Chief Examiner of Public Accounts requires that tests of financial transactions be made to determine compliance with state and local statutes, ordinances, regulations, and Attorney General's opinions which pertain to financial transactions

regardless of the effect on the financial statements. The auditor should be knowledgeable about and report on the auditee's compliance with state and local statutes, ordinances, regulations, and Attorney General's opinions which pertain to the auditee's financial transactions both specifically as a hospital and generally as a public institution.

- b. The Chief Examiner of Public Accounts requires that the Department of Examiners of Public Accounts, Coordinator of Hospital Audits, be consulted when legal questions arise concerning the interpretation of laws and regulations. Auditors should not release reports that involve possible noncompliance with laws and regulations without consulting first with the Department of Examiners of Public Accounts, Coordinator of Hospital Audits.
- c. The Chief Examiner requires that the Department of Examiners of Public Accounts, Coordinator of Hospital Audits, be notified immediately when evidence concerning the existence of fraud, abuse or illegal acts is uncovered. The Chief Examiner will assist in determining the nature and extent of fraud, abuse, and illegal acts and in bringing any resulting charges against officials or employees. In addition, auditors should not release information or reports containing information on illegal acts or indications of such acts without consulting with the Coordinator of Hospital Audits.
- d. If the auditor cannot obtain necessary records, the Coordinator of Hospital Audits should be notified. The Chief Examiner has statutory authority to subpoena necessary records.

VII. PROCEDURES FOR FIELD WORK

Procedures used during field work should be guided by the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide, *Health Care Organizations*, as well as applicable portions of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides, and any subsequent related authoritative guides or materials. The auditor is not limited to these procedures and should use such procedures as are necessary to perform an audit of sufficient scope according to the required standards.

VIII. STANDARDS OF REPORTING

Examples of the required financial statements, reports, and schedules are contained in Appendix I. A brief discussion of each is contained on the following pages. For additional guidance, refer to GASB's *Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards*, Section 2200.

A draft copy of the report should be sent to the Coordinator of Hospital Audits upon completion of the audit. After review of the draft copy, the Coordinator of Hospital Audits will notify the auditor of any changes that should be made to the report before it is published. The auditor should send the final corrected copy of the report and a pdf file to the Coordinator of Hospital Audits. The cover letter accompanying the final report should state if the auditor has delivered copies of the report to the board of the hospital being audited

A. Financial Statements

The financial statements of the Hospital are to be presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for special purpose governments. The key to determining the appropriate financial reporting model for a hospital is determining whether it has governmental activities or business-type activities (BTA) or both. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes,

intergovernmental revenues, or other nonexchange revenues. Business-type activities are financed in whole or in part by fees charged to external parties for goods or services. Enterprise funds may be used to report any activity for which a fee is charged to external users for goods or services (GASB Codification 1300.109). The required financial statements for a hospital depend on whether the hospital is engaged in more than one governmental program or has both governmental and business-type activities, or is engaged only in providing business-type activities. This determination should be based on auditor judgment in consultation with the management of the hospital.

Many hospitals may choose to report as an entity engaged only in BTA. For this reason, the BTA reporting model is illustrated in Appendix I. The illustrated financial statements examples contained in Appendix I should not be interpreted as an endorsement of one method of presentation over another presentation method allowable under GAAP. A hospital may choose to report as a special-purpose government engaged in governmental activities or one engaged in both governmental and business-type activities. If other presentation methods are chosen, the reporting guidance in GASB Codification Sp20 (See also Ho5) should be followed.

If the hospital reports as an entity engaged only in BTA, it should present only the financial statements required for enterprise funds. The basic financial statements and required supplementary information (RSI) for a hospital reporting as a BTA are (See GASB Codification Sp20.107 and Ho5.104):

- Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)

-
- Enterprise fund financial statements consisting of:
 - a. Statement of net position or balance sheet
 - b. Statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position
 - c. Statement of cash flows
 - Notes to the financial statements
 - RSI other than MD&A, if applicable

Assets and liabilities of proprietary funds should be presented in a classified format to distinguish between current and long-term assets and liabilities. Either a net position format – assets plus deferred outflows of resources less liabilities less deferred inflows of resources equal net position – or a balance sheet format – assets plus deferred outflows of resources equal liabilities plus deferred inflows or resources plus net position– may be used. The entity should also establish a policy that defines operating revenues and expenses and disclose it in the summary of significant accounting policies. (See GASB Codification P80.115)

Disclosures relating to the financial statements should be in conformity with disclosure requirements set forth by the GASB. A list of common note disclosures is included in Appendix I. For additional guidance refer to the *GASB Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards*.

B. Required Supplementary Information (RSI)

Required Supplementary Information (RSI) is financial information that GASB standards require to be presented with, but outside of, the basic financial statements. Depending on a hospital's specific circumstances, six types of RSI may be required to be presented – 1) Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A), 2)

Budgetary Comparison Schedule(s), 3) Infrastructure Condition and Maintenance Data (for hospitals using the modified approach for infrastructure assets), 4) Required Schedule(s) - Pension Plans and/or Other Postemployment Benefits, and 5) Revenues and Claims Development Trend Data (for public entity risk pools). The MD&A may be the most commonly applicable type of RSI for hospitals. If the hospital reports governmental activities and presents fund financial statements, a budgetary comparison schedule is required for the general fund and for each major special revenue fund that has a legally adopted annual budget. More detailed guidance regarding the other types of RSI can be found in the GASB Codification. Normally, RSI is presented following the Notes to the Financial Statements. However, MD&A information is the exception and should be presented preceding the financial statements. All other applicable RSI should be presented after the Notes. Below is a brief discussion of the MD&A.

Management’s Discussion and Analysis – The MD&A **should be prepared by the entity’s management** and should provide an objective and easily readable analysis of the hospital’s financial activities based on currently known facts, decisions or conditions. The MD&A should discuss the current-year results in comparison with the prior year, with emphasis on the current year. This fact-based analysis should discuss the positive and negative aspects of the comparison with the prior year. The information required to be reported in the MD&A is general rather than specific in order to encourage financial managers to effectively report only the most relevant information and to avoid “boilerplate” discussion. The

information presented should be confined to the items outlined in GASB Codification 2200.109.

Pension Schedules – If the hospital provides pension benefits and/or postemployment benefits other than pensions under a defined benefit plan as a single and agent employer or cost sharing employer, the following information should be presented for a ten (10 year period (for additional guidance refer to the GASB Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards P20):

- Single and Agent Employers – a schedule of changes in the net pension liability and a schedule of employer contributions should be presented for each single-employer and agent pension plan through which pensions are provided. The net pension liability information (e.g., changes in net pension information, total pension liability, the pension plan’s fiduciary net position, net pension liability, the pension plan’s net pension as a percentage of the total pension liability, covered-employee payroll, and net pension liability, the pension plan’s fiduciary net position as a percentage of total pension liability, covered-employee payroll, and net pension liability as a percentage of covered-payroll.) should be determined as of the measurement date of the net pension liability and may be presented in a single schedule. The employee contributions (actuarially determined calculation or not) should be determined as of the employer’s most recent fiscal year-end and presented in a 10-year schedule with required elements as specified in the aforementioned GASB Codification. Significant methods and assumptions used in calculating the actuarially

determined contributions, if any, should be presented as notes to the required schedules.

- **Cost- Sharing Employers** – a 10-year schedule should be presented to provide funding progress with information measured as of the measurement date of the net pension liability. In addition, a 10-year schedule should be presented of statutorily or contractually required contributions. See GASB Codification section P20 for data elements required to be included in the schedules. Information about factors that significantly affect trends in the amounts reported in the schedules should be reported as notes to the schedules.

Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Schedules – If the Hospital provides Other postemployment benefits other than pensions, information about those benefits is required to be presented in the financial statements, notes and required supplementary information. GASB Statement 75 identifies the schedules employers are required to present when Other Postemployment benefits are provided to employees as part of the OPEB Plan. The information contained in the required schedule(s) will depend upon whether the plan is a single and agent plan, a cost-sharing plan, and/or whether there is a special funding situation. Practitioners should refer to GASB 75 for additional information and/or to Sections P50 – P54 of the GASB Codification ensure that the required information is presented in the *Required Supplementary Information*.

C. Financial and Legal Compliance Audits

Auditors should follow the guidance in this manual, generally accepted auditing standards promulgated by the Auditing Standards Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), *Government Auditing Standards* issued by

the Comptroller General of the United States, and other applicable AICPA pronouncements and Statements of Positions (SOPs). Auditors are required to perform tests of compliance in every audit of hospitals.

1. Auditee's Responsibility

Auditee Response – The auditee is required to prepare a response when deficiencies in internal control, fraud, illegal acts, violations of provision of contracts or grant agreements or abuse are reported by the auditor. The auditor should normally request that this response is submitted in writing, stating the responsible officials' view on the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as management's planned corrective actions. When the audited entity's comments oppose the report's findings, conclusions, or recommendations, and are not, in the auditor's opinion, valid, or when planned corrective actions do not adequately address the auditor's recommendations, the auditors should state their reasons for disagreeing with the comments or planned corrective action.

2. Auditor's Reports

The auditor should prepare the following reports. Examples of these reports and schedules are included in Appendix I.

- a. Independent Auditor's Report** – an opinion or disclaimer of opinion as to whether the financial statements are presented fairly in all material respects in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

(See Example in Appendix I)

-
- b. Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* – The purpose of this report is to: 1) report any significant deficiencies (including material weaknesses) which are identified as a result of performing the audit of the financial statements, and 2) report occurrences of noncompliance with provision of laws, regulations, contracts and grants which could have a direct and material effect on the required financial statements, as well as abuse. (See Example in Appendix I)

D. Additional Reporting Requirements

The Department of Examiners of Public Accounts (EPA) has adopted the following additional reporting requirements:

1. In addition to the reporting responsibilities regarding fraud, illegal acts, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, other noncompliance with laws and regulations or abuse contained in the Yellow Book, the Chief Examiner of Public Accounts requires that the Department of Examiners of Public Accounts, Coordinator of Hospital Audits also be notified.
2. A Schedule of Board Members should be included. Refer to the example report in Appendix I of this manual for guidance concerning the format and content of this schedule.

IX. PROCEDURES FOR REPORTING

A. Form and Content

The overall format of the report should generally be as shown in the example report (Appendix I). As discussed previously, the format and content of the financial statements will vary depending on the reporting model for the individual hospital. The appropriate note disclosures are a matter of professional judgment and will vary depending on the specific circumstances encountered. However, included in Appendix I are sample note disclosures which are typically applicable to governmental entities. Professional judgment, along with materiality considerations, should be used in determining which disclosures are appropriate for a fair presentation in accordance with GAAP for a particular hospital.

B. SAFE Program

Public hospitals are subject to the SAFE Act. This has an impact on the information required by generally accepted accounting principles to be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements on audits of these hospitals. Auditors performing audits of the public hospitals should be aware of the provisions so that they can determine compliance with the Act and ensure that appropriate note disclosure is made.

Public hospitals' monies that have been deposited with financial institutions or banks in accordance with the provision of the SAFE Program are considered fully insured and collateralized. Below is a brief summary of the provisions of the SAFE Program.

The SAFE Program was established by the Alabama Legislature and is governed by the provisions contained in the *Code of Alabama 1975*, Section 41-14A-1 through 41-14A-14. All public entities covered under the SAFE Program are required to deposit their funds with banks or financial institutions that meet all the requirements of the SAFE Program and have been designated as Qualified Public Depositories (QPDs).

These public funds are protected through a collateral pool administered by the Alabama State Treasurer's Office. The financial institutions (QPDs) holding deposits of public funds must pledge securities as collateral against those deposits. In the event of failure of a financial institution, securities pledged by the financial institution would be liquidated by the State Treasurer to replace the public deposits not covered by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation (FDIC). If the securities pledged fail to produce adequate funds, every institution participating in the pool would share the liability for the remaining balance.

The QPD is required to provide an annual statement as of September 30th to each public depositor that summarizes their deposit account relationship and provides balances of deposits. The public depositor is required to verify the deposit account information and notify the QPD within 60 calendar days of receipt of the statement of any inaccuracies.

Act No. 2009-47, Acts of Alabama, amended the SAFE Act. This amendment allows hospitals to enter into agreements with banks that are covered by the SAFE Program to purchase certificates of deposit (CDs) on the hospital's behalf with other federally insured banks or savings association in such amounts so that the hospital's deposits, including any accrued interest, are fully covered by FDIC. If complied with, this arrangement generally eliminates custodial credit risk.

The auditor should perform procedures to determine whether the provisions of the SAFE Act have been complied with and ensure that the appropriate disclosures have been made in the notes to the financial statements.

C. Special Reports

All management letters and audit reports submitted to the auditee must also be submitted to the Chief Examiner of Public Accounts along with the copies of the audit report. The management letter will become a part of the permanent file.

D. Audit Report Distribution

Reports must be forwarded to the Chief Examiner of Public Accounts, postpaid, by registered mail not later than March 31st of the year following the end of the audit period. Reports are not considered final until formally approved and released by the Chief Examiner of Public Accounts. The auditor may issue the same basic financial statements contained in the report forwarded to the Chief Examiner to the auditee to satisfy the requirements of other financial statement users. The auditor should submit one copy for the Chairman of the Board, one copy for each Board member (if the auditor has not furnished a copy to Board members), and 5 extra copies of the audit report, along with a PDF File to the Chief Examiner. The cover letter accompanying the final report sent to the Coordinator of Hospital Audits should state that these copies of the report have been provided to all Board members. The distribution and release of the reports forwarded to the EPA is the responsibility of the Chief Examiner.

E. Additional Statements on Auditing Standards and Accounting Pronouncements

As additional statements on auditing standards and accounting pronouncements are issued by applicable standards setting bodies (AICPA, GASB, Comptroller General of the United States, etc.), they will be adopted and incorporated into this manual unless the Chief Examiner specifically excludes them.

When new pronouncements are issued, the Department of Examiners of Public Accounts will strive to update the manual in a timely manner. However, it is the responsibility of the auditor to ensure that the financial statements are fairly presented

in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that the audit is conducted in accordance with all applicable auditing standards.

APPENDIX I

EXAMPLE REPORT

(ILLUSTRATIVE ONLY)

Independent Auditor's Report²

[INSERT APPROPRIATE ADDRESSEE]

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the _____ County Hospital Board, as of and for the years ended September 30, 2XX4 and 2XX3 and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the basic financial statements of the _____ County Hospital Board, as listed in the table of contents as Exhibits 1 through ____.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the auditing standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the basic financial statements are free of material misstatement.

²² This report has been updated for changes due to the implementation of the clarity standards which was effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 2012. See AU-C Section 700 – 799 for additional guidance.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of _____ County Hospital Board, as of September 30, 2XX4 and 2XX3, and its changes in financial position, and where applicable, cash flows thereof, for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management Discussion and Analysis on pages ____ and ____ and [*identify other required supplementary information included in report*] be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements is required by

the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated _____ on our consideration of _____ County Hospital Board's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the _____ County Hospital Board's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Firm Name

[Auditor's City and State]

[Date of Auditor's Report]

NOTE: This is an example of an unmodified report for a County Hospital Board on comparative financial statements reporting with the BTA only model.

SAMPLE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

PERPETUAL COUNTY HOSPITAL BOARD			
BALANCE SHEETS			
SEPTEMBER 30, 2XXZ AND 2XXY			
(In thousands)			
	2XXZ		2XXY
Assets			
Current Assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,136		\$ 7,557
Short-term investments	3,142		3,423
Patient accounts receivable, net of estimated uncollectibles of \$2,125 in 2XXZ and \$2,040 in 2XXY	19,834		16,727
Supplies and other current assets	2,270		2,428
Total current assets	32,382		30,135
Noncurrent cash and investments:			
Internally designated for capital acquisitions	15,000		15,000
Other long-term investments	2,605		1,327
Held by trustee for debt service	1,945		2,005
Restricted by contributors and grantors for capital acquisitions and research	1,124		1,078
Principal of permanent endowments	3,003		2,919
Delinquent property taxes	385		229
Capital assets:			
Land	3,590		3,590
Depreciable capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	39,792		39,328
Total capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	43,382		42,918
Other assets	1,056		936
Total assets	\$ 100,882		\$ 96,547
Deferred Outflows of Resources			
Liabilities			
Current Liabilities:			
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 1,250		\$ 1,488
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	4,945		4,575
Estimated third-party payor settlements	1,822		1,651
Other current liabilities	1,673		1,797
Total current liabilities	9,690		9,511
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	19,672		20,412
Other long-term liabilities	3,361		2,690
Total Liabilities	32,723		32,613
Deferred Inflows of Resources			
Net Position:			
Net Investment in capital assets	22,460		21,018
Restricted:			
For debt service	1,945		2,005
Expendable for capital acquisitions	733		628
Expendable for research	781		899
Expendable for specific operating activities	331		573
Nonexpendable permanent endowments	3,003		2,919
Unrestricted	38,906		35,892
Total Net Position	68,159		63,934
See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.			

PERPETUAL COUNTY HOSPITAL BOARD
STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION
FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2XXZ AND 2XXY
(In thousands)

	2XXZ	2XXY
Operating revenues:		
Net patient service revenue (net of provision for bad debts of \$859 in 2XXZ and \$938 in 2XXY)	\$ 43,305	\$ 43,736
Premium revenue	9,876	13,058
Other	3,416	3,248
Total operating revenues	56,597	60,042
Operating expenses:		
Salaries and benefits	46,845	43,235
Medical supplies and drugs	12,746	7,986
Insurance	7,030	7,382
Other supplies	10,314	11,166
Depreciation and amortization	4,065	3,638
Total Expenses	81,000	73,407
Operating income (loss)	(24,403)	(13,365)
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):		
Property taxes	23,895	15,309
Investment income	5,653	5,304
Interest expense	(1,489)	(1,552)
Noncapital grants and contributions	170	853
Other	(425)	
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)	27,804	19,914
Excess of revenues over expenses before capital grants, contributions, and additions to permanent endowments	3,401	6,549
Capital grants and contributions	824	2,560
Additions to permanent endowments	351	351
Increase in net position	4,225	9,460
Net position - beginning of the year	63,934	54,474
Net position - end of the year	\$ 68,159	\$ 63,934

PERPETUAL COUNTY HOSPITAL BOARD
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2XXZ AND 2XXY
(In thousands)

	2XXZ	2XXY
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Receipts from and on behalf of patients	\$ 50,074	\$ 54,680
Payments to suppliers and contractors	(30,029)	(26,634)
Payments to employees	(46,955)	(43,460)
Other receipts and payments, net	4,591	3,597
Net cash provided by operating activities	(22,319)	(11,817)
Cash flows from noncapital financing activities:		
Property taxes	20,739	12,224
Noncapital grants and contributions	170	853
Contributions to permanent endowments		351
Other	(425)	
Net cash provided by noncapital financing activities	20,484	13,428
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:		
Capital grants and contributions	824	2,560
Property taxes restricted to capital acquisitions	3,000	3,000
Principal paid on long-term debt	(1,488)	(1,896)
Interest paid on long-term debt	(1,489)	(1,552)
Purchase of capital assets	(4,019)	(4,111)
Net cash used by capital and related financing activities	(3,172)	(1,999)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Interest and dividends on investments	2,737	2,124
Purchase of investments	(1,045)	(289)
Proceeds from sale of investments	2,327	683
Net cash provided by investing activities	4,019	2,518
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(988)	2,130
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	9,101	6,971
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 8,113	\$ 9,101

PERPETUAL COUNTY HOSPITAL BOARD
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)
FOR THE YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2XXZ AND 2XXY
(In thousands)

	<u>2XXZ</u>	<u>2XXY</u>
Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents to the balance sheet:		
Cash and cash equivalents in current assets	\$ 7,136	\$ 7,557
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	977	1,544
Total cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ 8,113</u>	<u>\$ 9,101</u>
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:		
Operating income (loss)	\$ (24,403)	\$ (13,365)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash flows used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,065	3,638
Provision for bad debts	859	938
Changes in:		
Patient accounts receivable	(3,966)	(2,909)
Supplies and other current assets	158	100
Other assets	(120)	
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other current liabilities	246	(225)
Estimated third-party payor settlements	171	(235)
Other liabilities related to operating activities	671	241
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>\$ (22,319)</u>	<u>\$ (11,817)</u>

Noncash Investing, Capital, and Financing Activities:

The Board entered into capital lease obligations of \$510,000 for new equipment in 2XXZ.

The Board held investments at September 30, 2XXZ with a fair value of \$XXX. During 2XXZ, the net increase in the fair value of these investments was \$XXX.

See accompanying Notes to the Financial Statements.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS³

³ Note: The accompanying sample notes are for illustrative purposes only and, therefore, the amounts included may not agree with the sample set of financial statements. All of the notes shown may not be applicable. In addition, there may be other note disclosures which are required. The auditor should ensure that the Board/Hospital has made the appropriate note disclosures based on the provisions of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and on the specific circumstances.

PERPETUAL COUNTY HOSPITAL BOARD
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2XXZ AND 2XXY

1. Description of Reporting Entity and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Reporting Entity - The Perpetual County Hospital Board (the Board) is a not-for-profit public corporation that owns and operates Perpetual Medical Center, (the Hospital) a 75 bed hospital that serves Perpetual and surrounding counties. The Perpetual County Hospital Board was originally incorporated under the provisions of *Code of Alabama 1975*, §22-21-70 through 22-21-83. As of October 1, 19XX, the Board was designated to operate as a hospital corporation under the provisions of the *Code of Alabama 1975*, §22-21-100 through 22-21-112.

Tax Status - As a governmental unit, the Board is exempt from federal and state income taxes

Related Organization - The Board is appointed by the Perpetual County Commission. The County, however, is not financially accountable (because it does not impose will or have a financial benefit or burden relationship) for the Board and the Board is not considered part of the Commission's financial reporting entity. The Board is considered a related organization of the County Commission.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Enterprise Fund Accounting - The Board uses enterprise fund accounting. Revenues and expenses are recognized on the accrual basis using the economic resources measurement focus. Substantially all revenues and expenses are subject to accrual

Cash and Cash Equivalents - Cash and cash equivalents include investments in highly liquid debt instruments with an original maturity of three months or less.

Capital Assets – Capital assets are those assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$_____ and an estimated useful life in excess of _____ year(s). The Board’s capital assets are reported at historical costs. Contributed capital assets are reported at their estimated fair value at the time of their donation. All capital assets other than land are depreciated or amortized (in the case of capital leases) using the straight-line method of depreciation using these asset lives:

Land improvements	15 to 20 years
Buildings and building improvements	20 to 40 years
Equipment, computers, and furniture	2 to 7 years

Costs of Borrowing – Except for capital assets acquired through gifts, contributions, or capital grants, interest cost incurred on borrowed funds during the period of construction of capital assets is capitalized as a component of the cost of acquiring those assets. None of the hospital’s interest cost was capitalized in either 2XXZ or 2XXY.

Property Taxes – The Board received approximately 29 percent in 2XXZ and 19 percent in 2XXY of its financial support from property taxes. These funds were used as follows:

	<u>2XXZ</u>	<u>2XXY</u>
Used to support operations	\$20,895	\$12,309
Levied for debt service	3,000	3,000

Property taxes are levied in February of each year based on the assessments for property as of the previous October 1. The taxes are due the following October 1 and are considered delinquent after December 31.

Grants and Contributions – From time to time, the Board receives grants from the State of Alabama as well as contributions from individuals and private organizations. Revenues from grants and contributions (including contributions of capital assets) are recognized when all eligibility requirements, including time requirements are met. Grants and contributions may be restricted for either specific operating purposes or for capital purposes. Amounts that are unrestricted or that are restricted to a specific operating purpose are reported as nonoperating revenues. Amounts restricted to capital acquisitions are reported after nonoperating revenues and expenses. (Use this note only if applicable)

Endowments – Endowments are provided to the Board on a voluntary basis by individuals and private organizations. Permanent endowments require that the principal or corpus of the endowment be retained in perpetuity. If a donor has not provided specific instructions, Alabama state law permits the Board to authorize for expenditure the net appreciation of the investments of endowment funds, as discussed in Note _____. (Use this note only if applicable)

Assets limited as to use – Assets limited as to use primarily include assets held by trustees under indenture agreements and designated assets set aside by the Board for future capital improvements, over which the Board retains control and may at its discretion subsequently use for other purposes. Amounts required to meet current liabilities of the Hospital have been reclassified in the balance sheet at September 30, 2XXZ and 2XXY.

Restricted Resources – When the Board has both restricted and unrestricted resources available to finance a particular program; it is the Board’s policy to use restricted resources before unrestricted resources.

Net Position – Net position of the Board is classified in the following three components:

- **Net Investment in Capital Assets** – consist of capital assets net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the current balances of any outstanding borrowing used to finance the purchase or construction of those assets. Deferred Outflows of

-
- Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources related to acquiring, constructing and improving those assets or related are also included.
- **Restricted:**
 - **expendable** – consist of noncapital net assets that must be used for a particular purpose, as specified by creditors, grantors, or contributors external to the Board, including amounts deposited with trustees as required by revenue bond indentures, discussed in Note ____.
 - **nonexpendable** – equal the principal portion of permanent endowments.
 - **Unrestricted** – consist of the remaining net position that do not meet the definition of net investment in capital assets or restricted.

Operating Revenues and Expenses – The Board’s statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position distinguishes between operating and nonoperating revenues and expenses. Operating revenues result from exchange transactions associated with providing health care services, the Board’s principal activity. Nonexchange revenues, including taxes, grants and contributions received for purposes other than capital asset acquisition, are reported as nonoperating revenues. Operating expenses are all expenses incurred to provide health care services, other than financing costs.

Compensated Absences – The Board’s employees earn vacation days at varying rates depending on years of service. Vacation time does not accumulate. Generally, any days not used at year-end expire. Employees also earn sick leave benefits based on varying rates depending on years of service. Employees may accumulate sick leave up to a specified maximum. Employees are not paid for accumulated sick leave if they leave before retirement. However, employees who retire from the Board may convert accumulated sick leave to termination payments at varying rates, depending on the employee’s contract. The estimated amount of sick leave payable as termination payments is reported as a noncurrent liability in both 2XXZ and 2XXY. (This is an example of a policy. The Board’s policy should be described.)

(NOTE: The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) requires the accrual of a liability for vacation leave as the benefits are earned by employees if both of the following conditions are met: 1) the employees’ rights to receive compensation are attributable to services already rendered and 2) it is probable that the employer will compensate the employees for the benefits through paid time off or some other means, such as cash payments at termination or retirement)

Risk Management – The Board is exposed to various risks of loss from torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; business interruption; errors and omissions; employee injuries and illnesses; natural disasters; medical malpractice; and employee health, dental, and accident benefits. Commercial insurance coverage is purchased for claims arising from such matters. Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the three preceding years. (NOTE: Care should be taken to ensure that this note is modified to reflect the individual circumstances.)

Investments in Debt and Equity Securities – Investments in debt and equity securities are reported at fair value except for short-term highly liquid investments that have a remaining

maturity at the time they are purchased of one year or less. These investments are carried at amortized cost. Interest, dividends, and gains and losses, both realized and unrealized, on investments in debt and equity securities are included in nonoperating revenues when earned.

Net Patient Service Revenue - The Hospital has agreements with third-party payors that provide for payments to the hospital at amounts different from its established rates. Payment arrangements include prospectively determined rates per discharge, reimbursed costs, discounted charges, and per diem payments. Net patient service revenue is reported at the estimated net realizable amounts from patients, third party payers, and others for services rendered including estimated retroactive adjustments under reimbursement agreements with third-party payers. Retroactive adjustments are accrued on an estimated basis in the period the related services are rendered and adjusted in future periods as final settlements are determined.

Premium Revenue - The Hospital has agreements with various Health Maintenance Organizations (HMOs) to provide medical services to subscribing participants. Under these agreements, the Hospital receives monthly capitation payments based on the number of each HMO's participants, regardless of services actually performed by the Hospital. In addition, the HMOs make fee-for-service payments to the Hospital for certain covered services based upon discounted fee schedules.

Charity Care - The Hospital provides care to patients who meet certain criteria under its charity care policy without charge or at amounts less than its established rates. Because the Hospital does not pursue collection of amounts determined to qualify as charity care, they are not reported as revenue.

2. Net Patient Service Revenue

The Hospital has agreements with third-party payors that provide for payments to the Hospital at amounts different from its established rates. A summary of the payment arrangements with major third-party payors follows:

Medicare. Inpatient acute care services and outpatient services rendered to Medicare program beneficiaries are paid at prospectively determined rates. These rates vary according to a patient classification system that is based on clinical, diagnostic, and other factors. Inpatient nonacute services and defined capital and medical education costs related to Medicare beneficiaries are paid based on a cost reimbursement methodology. The Hospital is reimbursed for cost reimbursable items at a tentative rate with final settlement determined after submission of annual cost reports by the Hospital and audits thereof by the Medicare fiscal intermediary. Beginning in 2XXW, the Hospital claimed Medicare payments based on an interpretation of certain “disproportionate share” rules. The intermediary disagreed and declined to pay the excess reimbursement claimed under that interpretation. Through 19XX, the Hospital has not included the claimed excess in net patient revenues pending resolution of the matter. In 20XZ, the intermediary accepted the claims and paid the outstanding claims, including \$950,000 applicable to 20XY and \$300,000 applicable to 20X5 and prior, which has been included in 20XZ net revenues. Approximately ___% and ___% of the Hospital’s gross patient revenues were derived from Medicare beneficiaries in fiscal years 20XZ and 20XY, respectively.

Medicaid - Inpatient services and outpatient services rendered to Medicaid program beneficiaries are reimbursed under a cost reimbursement methodology. The Hospital is reimbursed at a tentative rate with final settlement determined after submission of annual cost reports by the Hospital and audits thereof by the Medicaid fiscal intermediary. The inpatient rates are established by the prepaid health plan of which the Hospital is a member. Outpatient services are reimbursed based on an established fee schedule. Annually, a copy of the Medicare cost report is submitted to the Medicaid agency to assist the agency in monitoring the program. Approximately ___% and ___% of the Hospital's gross patient revenues were derived from Medicaid beneficiaries in fiscal years 2XXZ and 2XXY, respectively.

Blue Cross – Inpatient and outpatient services rendered to Blue Cross subscribers are reimbursed based on a cost reimbursement methodology. The Authority is reimbursed at a tentative rate with final settlement determined after submission of annual cost reports by the Hospital and audits thereof by Blue Cross. The Hospital's Blue Cross cost reports have been audited by Blue Cross through September 30, 20XX. Approximately ___% and ___% of the Hospital's gross patient revenues were derived from Blue Cross subscribers in fiscal years 2XXZ and 2XXY, respectively.

Other - The Hospital also has entered into payment agreements with certain commercial insurance carriers, health maintenance organizations, and preferred provider organizations. The basis for payment to the Hospital under these agreements includes prospectively determined rates per discharge, discounts from established charges, and prospectively determined daily rates.

3. Endowments and Restricted Net Position

Restricted, expendable net position are available for the following purposes:

	<u>2XXZ</u>	<u>2XXY</u>
Program A Activities:		
Purchase of Equipment	\$ 404	\$ 321
Research	53	683
General	46	63
Program B Activities:		
Purchase of Equipment	\$ 235	\$ 235
Research	184	151
General	79	110
Program C Activities:		
General	206	400
Buildings and Equipment	94	72
Research	<u>44</u>	<u>65</u>
Total temporarily restricted net position	<u>\$ 1,845</u>	<u>\$2,100</u>

Unless the contributor provides specific instruction, Alabama state law permits the Board to authorize for expenditure the net appreciation (realized and unrealized) of the investments in its endowments. When administering its power to spend net appreciation, the Board of Trustees is required to consider the Hospital's "long- and short-term needs, present and anticipated financial requirements, expected total return on its investments, price-level trends, and general economic conditions." Any net appreciation that is spent is required to be spent for the purposes designated by the contributor.

The Board chooses to spend only a portion of the investment income (including changes in the value of investments) each year. Under the policy established by the Board, 5 percent of the average market value of endowment investments at the end of the previous three years has been authorized for expenditure. The Board retains the remaining amount, if any, to be used in future years when the amount computed using the spending policy exceeds investment income. At September 30, 2XXZ and 2XXY, net appreciation of \$864 and \$953, respectively, is available to be spent, of which \$402 and \$682, respectively, is reported as restricted expendable net position, and the balance is in unrestricted net position.

Restricted nonexpendable net position as of September 30, 2XXZ and 2XXY, represent the principal amounts of permanent endowments, restricted to investment in perpetuity. Investment earning from the Board's permanent endowments are expendable to support these programs as established by the contributor:

	<u>2XXZ</u>	<u>2XXY</u>
Program A activities	\$ 158	\$ 158
Program B activities	176	176
Program C activities	423	423
Any activities of the Board	<u>854</u>	<u>854</u>
	1,611	1,611
Endowment requiring income to be added to		
Original gift until fund's value is \$2,125	<u>1,392</u>	<u>1,392</u>
Total restricted nonexpendable net position	<u>3,003</u>	<u>3,003</u>

4. Designated Net Position

Of the \$40,851 and \$37,897 of unrestricted net position reported in 2XXZ and 2XXY, respectively, \$15,000 has been designated by the Board for capital acquisition. Designated funds remain under the control of the Board, which may at its discretion later use the funds for other purposes.

5. Deposits and Investments

A. Deposits

The Board's deposits at year-end were held by financial institutions that participate in the State of Alabama's Security for Alabama Funds Enhancement (SAFE) Program. The SAFE Program was established by the Alabama Legislature and is governed by the provisions contained in the *Code of Alabama 1975*, Sections 41-14A-1 through 41-14A-14. Under the SAFE Program all public funds are protected through a collateral pool administered by the Alabama State Treasurer's Office. Under this program, financial institutions holding deposits of public funds must pledge securities as collateral against those deposits. In the event of failure of a financial institution, securities pledged by that financial institution would be liquidated by the State Treasurer to replace the public deposits not covered by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation (FDIC). If the securities pledged failed to produce adequate funds, every institution participating in the pool would share the liability for the remaining balance.

State of Alabama Act No. 2009-471 allows the Board to enter into agreements with banks that are covered by the SAFE Program to purchase certificates of deposit (CDs) on the Board's behalf with other federally insured banks or savings association in such amounts so that the Board's deposits, including any accrued interest, are fully covered by FDIC. **(NOTE: This arrangement is commonly referred to as participation in the Certificate of Deposit Accounts Registry Service (CDARS). Include this paragraph only if the Board has entered into such an arrangement.)**

B. Investments

(NOTE: The Board is required to briefly describe its investment policy for each type of applicable risk [e.g. credit risk, interest rate risk, etc.]; the legal or contractual provisions for investments, including repurchase agreements; commitments to resell securities under yield maintenance repurchase agreements and losses due to default by counterparties. The following illustrations provide example of possible policies. **They are for illustration purposes only.** The auditor should ensure that the specific policy formally adopted by the Board is disclosed and that disclosures meet the requirements of all applicable standards.)

As discussed in Note 1, the Board's investments generally are carried at fair value. At September 30, 2XXZ and 2XXY, the Board had the following investments and maturities, all of which were held in the Board's name by a custodial bank that is an agent of the Board.⁴

September 30, 2XXZ

<u>Investment Type</u>	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Investment Maturities (in Years)</u>			
		<u>Less Than 1</u>	<u>1 - 5</u>	<u>6 - 10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 21,774	\$ XXX	\$ XXX	\$ XXX	\$ XXX
Federal National					
Mortgage Association	3,580	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Government National					
Mortgage Association	3,580	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Total	\$ 28,934	\$ XXX	\$ XXX	\$ XXX	\$ XXX

September 30, 2XXY

<u>Investment Type</u>	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Investment Maturities (in Years)</u>			
		<u>Less Than 1</u>	<u>1 - 5</u>	<u>6 - 10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 20,039	\$ XXX	\$ XXX	\$ XXX	\$ XXX
Federal National					
Mortgage Association	3,365	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Government National					
Mortgage Association	3,366	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Total	\$ 26,770	\$ XXX	\$ XXX	\$ XXX	\$ XXX

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. As a means of limiting its exposure to fair value losses arising from rising interest rates, the Board's investment policy limits at least half of the Board's investment portfolio to maturities of less than one year. Investment maturities are limited as follows:

⁴ This illustrative note presents interest rate information using the segmented time distribution method. Other methods for illustrating interest rate information are described in paragraph 15 of GASB State No. 40, *Deposit and Investment Risk Disclosures*.

<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Maximum Investment</u>
One to five years	35%
Six to ten years	15%
More than ten years	5%

(NOTE: If the Board does not have a policy the following should be stated: The Board does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses from changing interest rates. In addition, investments that are highly sensitive to interest rate change should be disclosed.)

Credit Risk

(NOTE: Credit Risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligation. GASB Statement No. 40 requires that information about the credit risk associated with investments be provided by disclosing the credit quality ratings of investment in debt securities as described by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations such as Standard & Poor’s, Moody’s Investors Service, and Fitch Ratings, rating agencies, as of the date of the financial statements. U.S. government or obligations explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government are not considered to have credit risk and do not require disclosure. If a credit quality disclosure is required and the investment is unrated, the disclosure should indicate this fact. The credit quality ratings of external investment pools, money market funds, bond mutual funds, and other pooled investments of fixed-income securities in which the government has invested should be disclosed. If the Board has investments with credit risk and the Board does not have a formally adopted credit risk policy, this fact must be disclosed.) Example note follows:

The Board’s policy requires that investments be made only in U.S. government obligations held by the Board’s third-party agent. As of September 30, 2XXZ and 2XXY, the Board’s investments in Federal National Mortgage Association were rated AAA by Standard and Poor’s and Fitch Ratings and Aaa by Moody’s Investor Services.

Custodial Credit Risk

For an investment, this is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the government will not be able to cover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The Board’s investment policy limits the amount of securities that can be held by counterparties to no more than \$XX,XXX. (Include this last sentence only when the Board has adopted a formal custodial credit risk policy. Otherwise state that the Board has no policy.) Of the investment in corporate bonds of \$XXX,XXX, the Board

has a custodial credit risk exposure of \$XX,XXX because the related securities are uninsured, unregistered and held by the Board's brokerage firm which is also the counterparty of these particular securities.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of the Board's investment in a single issuer. The Board places no limit on the amount is may invest in any one issuer. More than 5 percent of the Board's investments at September 30, 2XXZ and 2XXY are invested in the Federal National Mortgage Association. These investments are 12.37% and 12.57%, respectively, of the Board's total investments at September 30, 2XXZ and 2XXY.

(NOTE: The amount and issuer of investments in any one issuer that represent 5 percent or more of the total investments must be disclosed. This does not include U.S. government securities and investments in mutual funds, external investment pools and other pooled investments.)

(If the Board's investments are exposed to foreign currency risk, the U.S. Dollar balance of such investments organized by currency denomination should be disclosed.

(Security Lending Transactions – transactions in which governmental entities transfer their securities to broker-dealers and other entities for collateral which may be cash, securities, or letters of credit, and simultaneously agree to return the collateral for the same securities in the future. If the Board has security lending collateral that is reported in the statement of net assets/balance sheet, GASB Statement No. 40, paragraph 10, disclosures should be made.)

The carrying amount of deposits and investments are included in the Board's balance sheets as follows:

		<u>2XXZ</u>	<u>2XXY</u>
A.	Carrying amount		
B.	Deposits	\$ 5,021	\$ 6,539
	Investments	<u>28,934</u>	<u>26,770</u>
		\$33,955	\$33,309
C.	Included in the following balance sheet captions		
	Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,136	\$ 7,557
	Short-term investments	3,142	3,423
	Noncurrent cash and investments:		
	Other long-term investments	2,605	1,327
	Restricted by contributors and grantors for		
D.	Capital acquisitions and research	1,124	1,078
	Internally designated for capital acquisition	15,000	15,000
	Held by trustee for debt service	1,945	2,005
	Principal of permanent endowments	<u>3,003</u>	<u>2,919</u>
		<u>\$33,955</u>	<u>\$33,309</u>

6. Derivative Instruments

NOTE: Refer to the guidance on derivatives contained in GASB Codification D40 to determine if the hospital has derivatives and, if so, ensure that the *applicable* disclosures as outlined in Section D40.165-.175 have been made. The note should be specifically tailored to fit the individual circumstances. Some disclosures related to the derivative instruments may need to be made in other parts of the notes and cross-referenced to this note. For example, if an investment derivative exposes the entity to interest rate risk, that exposure should be disclosed as required by GASB Statement No. 40 under the Deposits and Investments Note. Similarly, if a hedging derivative relates to debt, disclosures may need to be made in the Long-Term Debt Note.

7. Charity Care

Charges excluded from revenue under the Board's charity care policy were \$7,100 and \$6,845 for 2XXZ and 2XXY, respectively.

8. Accounts Receivable and Payable

Patient accounts receivable and accounts payable (including accrued expenses) reported as current assets and liabilities by the Board at September 30, 2XXZ and 2XXY consisted of these amounts:

<u>Patient Accounts Receivable</u>	<u>2XXZ</u>	<u>2XXY</u>
Receivable from patients and their insurance carriers	\$ 13,976	\$ 11,868
Receivable from Medicare	4,286	3,002
Receivable from Medicaid	<u>3,697</u>	<u>3,897</u>
Total patient accounts receivable	21,959	18,767
Less allowance for uncollectibles amounts	<u>2,125</u>	<u>2,040</u>
Patient accounts receivable, net	<u>\$ 19,834</u>	<u>\$ 16,727</u>
 <u>Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses</u>		
	<u>2XXZ</u>	<u>2XXY</u>
Payable to employees (including payroll taxes)	\$2,437	\$1,970
Payable to suppliers	2,481	2,591
Other	<u>27</u>	<u>14</u>
Total amounts payable and accrued expenses	<u>\$4,945</u>	<u>\$4,575</u>

9. Capital Assets

Capital asset additions, retirements, and balances for the years ended September 30, 2XXZ and 2XXY were as follows:

	Balance October 1, 2XXY	Additions	Retirements	Balance September 30, 2XXZ
Capital Assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 3,590			\$ 3,590
Capital Assets being Depreciated:				
Land improvements	645	17		662
Buildings and improvements	29,265	965	(810)	29,420
Equipment	30,375	3,547	(1,860)	32,062
Totals Capital Assets being depreciated at historical cost	\$60,285	\$4,529	(\$2,670)	\$62,144
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Land improvements	(291)	(65)		(356)
Buildings and improvements	(5,352)	(582)	810	(5,124)
Equipment	(15,314)	(3,418)	1,860	(16,872)
Total accumulated depreciation	(20,957)	(4,065)	2,670	(22,352)
Total Capital assets being depreciated, net	\$39,328	\$ 464	\$ 0	\$39,792
Total Capital Assets	\$42,918	\$ 464	\$ 0	\$43,382

	Balance October 1, 2XXX	Additions	Retirements	Balance September 30, 2XXY
Capital Assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 3,590			\$ 3,590
Capital Assets being depreciated				
Land improvements	608	112	(75)	645
Buildings and improvements	29,187	78		29,265
Equipment	26,710	3,921	(256)	30,375
Totals capital assets being depreciated at historical cost	\$56,505	\$4,111	(\$331)	\$60,285
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Land improvements	(309)	(57)	75	(291)
Buildings and improvements	(4,826)	(526)		(5,352)
Equipment	(12,515)	(3,055)	256	(15,314)
Total accumulated depreciation	(17,650)	(3,638)	331	(20,957)
Total Capital assets being depreciated, net	\$38,855	\$ 473	\$ 0	\$39,328
Total Capital Assets	\$42,445	\$ 473	\$ 0	\$42,918

Additional disclosures may be necessary related to capital assets (See GASB Codification Section 1400 for additional information.)

10. Long-Term Debt and Other noncurrent Liabilities

A schedule of changes in the Board's noncurrent liabilities for 2XXZ and 2XXY follows:

	Balance October 1, 2XXY	Additions	Reductions	Balance September 30, 2XXZ	Amounts Due Within One Year
Bonds and Notes Payable:					
Revenue notes	\$ 18,714		(\$457)	\$ 18,257	\$ 620
Mortgage loan	1,808		(99)	1,709	99
Note Payable	570		(464)	106	106
Total long-term debt	21,092		(1,020)	20,072	825
Capital lease obligations	808	510	(468)	850	425
Other Liabilities:					
Compensated absences	2,625	662	(6)	3,281	
Net pension obligation	65	15		80	See Note 9
Total other liabilities	2,690	677	(6)	3,361	
Total noncurrent liabilities	<u>\$ 24,590</u>	<u>\$1,187</u>	<u>(\$1,494)</u>	<u>\$ 24,283</u>	<u>\$1,250</u>

	Balance October 1, 2XXX	Additions	Reductions	Balance September 30, 2XXY	Amounts Due Within One Year
Bonds and Notes Payable:					
Revenue notes	\$ 19,568		(\$854)	\$ 18,714	\$ 457
Mortgage loan	1,907		(99)	1,808	99
Note Payable	1,045		(475)	570	464
Total long-term debt	22,520		(1,428)	21,092	1,020
Capital lease obligations	1,276		(468)	808	468
Other Liabilities:					
Compensated absences	2,400	236	(11)	2,625	
Net pension obligation	49	16		65	See Note 9
Total other liabilities	2,449	252	(11)	2,690	
Total noncurrent liabilities	\$ 26,245	\$252	(\$1,907)	\$ 24,590	\$1,488

Long-term debt – The terms and due dates of the Board's long-term debt, including capital lease obligations, at September 30, 2XXZ and 2XXY, follow:

- 7.25 percent Revenue Notes, due November 1, 2XZ7, collateralized by a pledge of the Board's gross receipts. Thus all operating and nonoperating revenues of the board are similarly pledged.
- 9.25 percent mortgage loan, due January 2XY5, collateralized by a mortgage on property and equipment with a depreciated cost of \$1,530 on September 30, 2XX7.
- 9.75 percent note payable, due March 2XX8, unsecured.
- Capital lease obligations, at varying rates of imputed interest from 9.8 percent to 12.3 percent collateralized by leased equipment with cost of \$1,275 at September 30, 2XX7.

Under the terms of the Revenue Note Indenture, the Board is required to maintain certain deposits with a trustee. Such deposits are included with restricted cash and investments on the balance sheet. The Revenue Note Indenture also places limits on the incurrence of additional borrowings and requires that the Board satisfy certain measures of financial performance as long as the notes are outstanding.

Schedule principal and interest repayments on long-term debt and payments on capital lease obligations are as follows:

Year Ending September 30:	Long-term Debt		Capital Lease Obligations	
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
2XX8	\$ 825	\$ 553	\$ 425	\$ 58
2XX9	775	487	213	27
2XY0	836	465	212	13
2XY1	900	448		
2XY2	972	432		
2XY3 to 2XY7	5,764	1,769		
2XY8 to 2XZ2	4,824	1,492		
2XZ3 to 2XZ7	5,176	1,116		
Total	<u>\$ 20,072</u>	<u>\$ 6,762</u>	<u>\$ 850</u>	<u>\$ 98</u>

11. Commitments under Noncancelable Operating Leases

The Board is committed under various noncancelable operating leases, all of which are for equipment and computers. These expire in various years through 2XY9. Future minimum operating lease payments are as follows:

<u>Year Ending September 30:</u>	
2XX8	\$ 3,109
2XX9	2,898
2XY0	2,795
2XY1	2,780
2XY2	2,575
2XY3 to 2XY7	4,215
2XY8 to 2XY9	1,065
Total	<u>\$ 19,437</u>

12. Termination Benefits

NOTE: Termination benefits are inducements provided to employees to hasten termination of service which can be voluntary or involuntary. It includes early retirement incentives, severance benefits and other termination related costs. It does not include unemployment compensation or other postemployment benefits. It also would not include payments for compensated absences. If an entity has termination benefits, the related liability and expense should be recognized in the financial statements, and the following information disclosed: 1) A description of the termination benefits arrangements (including types of benefits provided, number of employees, and the period of time which benefits are expected to be provided) for the period in which the employer becomes obligated for termination benefits and any future periods in which the employee is required to render services to receive the termination benefits, 2) in the period in which the employer becomes obligated for the termination benefits, the cost of the benefits, if that information is not otherwise identifiable from the face of the financial statements, 3) for all periods in which termination benefits are reported, the significant methods and assumptions used to determine the liability, and 4) if termination benefits are not estimable, that fact should be stated. For additional guidance, see GASB Codification T25.

13. Defined Benefit Pension Plan

(NOTE: The following is an example of the note disclosures required by GASB Statement No. 68, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. This information is for Hospital Boards which participate in the Employees' Retirement System of Alabama (ERS). If the entity participates in another pension plan in addition to or instead of the ERS, disclosure relevant to the other plan(s) should be made similar to what is provided below. For more detailed guidance refer to GASB Codification P20, Pe5 or Pe6, whichever is appropriate.)

A. General Information about the Pension Plan

Plan description. The Employees' Retirement System of Alabama, an agency multiple-employer plan, was established October 1, 1945 under the provisions of Act 515 of the Legislature of 1945 for the purpose of providing retirement allowances and other specified benefits for state employees, State Police, and on an elective basis, to all cities, counties, towns and quasi-public organizations. The responsibility for the general administration and operation of ERS is vested in its Board of Control. The ERS Board of Control consists of 13 trustees. The Plan is administered by the Retirement Systems of Alabama (RSA). Title 36-Chapter 27 of the Code of Alabama grants the authority to establish and amend the benefit terms to the ERS Board of Control. The Plan issues a publicly available financial report that can be obtained at www.rsa-al.gov.

The ERS Board of Control consists of 13 trustees as follows:

- 1) The Governor, ex officio.
- 2) The State Treasurer, ex officio.
- 3) The State Personnel Director, ex officio.
- 4) The State Director of Finance, ex officio.
- 5) Three vested members of ERS appointed by the Governor for a term of four years, no two of whom are from the same department of state government nor from any department of which an ex officio trustee is the head.
- 6) Six members of ERS who are elected by members from the same category of ERS for a term of four years as follows:
 - a. Two retired members with one from the ranks of retired state employees and one from the ranks of retired employees of a county, county, or a public agency each of whom is an active beneficiary of ERS.
 - b. Two vested active state employees.
 - c. Two vested active employees of an employer participating in ERS pursuant to § 36-27-6.

Benefits provided. State law establishes retirement benefits as well as death and disability benefits and any ad hoc increase in postretirement benefits for the ERS. Benefits for ERS members vest after 10 years of creditable service. State employees who retire after age 60 (52 for State Police) with 10 years or more of creditable service or with 25 years of service (regardless of age) are entitled to an annual retirement benefit, payable monthly for life. Local employees who retire after age 60 with 10 years or more of creditable service or with 25 or 30 years of service (regardless of age), depending on the particular entity's election, are entitled to an annual retirement benefit, payable monthly for life. Service and disability retirement benefits are based on a guaranteed minimum or a formula method, with the member receiving payment under the method that yields the highest monthly benefit. Under the formula method, members of the ERS (except State Police) are allowed 2.0125% of their average final compensation (highest 3 of the last 10 years) for each year of service. State Police are allowed 2.875% for each year of State Police service in computing the formula method.

Act 377 of the Legislature of 2012 established a new tier of benefits (Tier 2) for members hired on or after January 1, 2013. Tier 2 ERS members are eligible for retirement after age 62 (56 for State Police) with 10 years or more of creditable service and are entitled to an annual retirement benefit, payable monthly for life. Service and disability retirement benefits are based on a guaranteed minimum or a formula method, with the member receiving payment under the method that yields the highest monthly benefit. Under the formula method, Tier 2 members of the ERS (except State Police) are allowed 1.65% of their average final compensation (highest 5 of the last 10 years) for each year of service. State Police are allowed 2.375% for each year of state police service in computing the formula method.

Members are eligible for disability retirement if they have 10 years of credible service, are currently in-service, and determined by the RSA Medical Board to be permanently incapacitated from further performance of duty. Preretirement death benefits are calculated and paid to the

beneficiary on the member’s age, service credit, employment status and eligibility for retirement.

The ERS serves approximately 846 local participating employers. These participating employers include 287 cities, 65 counties, and 494 other public entities. The ERS membership includes approximately 83,874 participants. As of September 30, 2014, membership consisted of:

Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	21,691
Terminated employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	1,252
Terminated employees not entitled to a benefit	5,048
Active Members	55,883
Total	83,874

Contributions. Covered members of the ERS contributed 5% of earnable compensation to the ERS as required by statute until September 30, 2011. From October 1, 2011, to September 30, 2012, covered members of the ERS were required by statute to contribute 7.25% of earnable compensation. Effective October 1, 2012, covered members of the ERS are required by statute to contribute 7.50% of earnable compensation. Certified law enforcement, correctional officers, and firefighters of the ERS contributed 6% of earnable compensation as required by statute until September 30, 2011. From October 1, 2011, to September 30, 2012, certified law enforcement, correctional officers, and firefighters of the ERS were required by statute to contribute 8.25% of earnable compensation. Effective October 1, 2012, certified law enforcement, correctional officers, and firefighters of the ERS are required by statute to contribute 8.50% of earnable compensation. State Police of the ERS contribute 10% of earnable compensation. ERS local participating employers are not required by statute to increase contribution rates for their members.

Tier 2 covered members of the ERS contribute 6% of earnable compensation to the ERS as required by statute. Tier 2 certified law enforcement, correctional officers, and firefighters of the ERS are required by statute to contribute 7% of earnable compensation. Tier 2 State Police members of the ERS contribute 10% of earnable compensation. These contributions rates are the same for Tier 2 covered members of ERS local participating employers.

The ERS establishes rates based upon an actuarially determined rate recommended by an independent actuary. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with additional amounts to finance any unfunded accrued liability, the pre-retirement death benefit and administrative expenses of the Plan. For the year ended September 30, 2015 (or other year-end if not September), the Board’s active employee contribution rate was _____percent of covered employee payroll, and the Board’s average contribution rate to fund the normal and accrued liability costs was ___[from RSI “Schedule of Board Contributions”]_percent of covered employee payroll.

Board’s contractually required contribution rate for the year ended September 30, 2015 was ____% of pensionable pay for Tier 1 employees, and ____% of pensionable pay for Tier 2 employees,.

These required contribution rates are based upon the actuarial valuation dated September 30, 2013, a percent of annual pensionable payroll, and actuarially determined as an amount that, when combined with member contributions, is expected to finance the costs of benefits earned by members during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. Total employer contributions to the pension plan from the System were \$[See System general ledger for FY2015] for the year ended September 30, 2015.

B. Net Pension Liability

The Board’s net pension liability was measured as of September 30, 2014, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as September 30, 2013 rolled forward to September 30, 2014 using standard roll-forward techniques as shown in the following table:

Total Pension Liability
as of September 30, 2013 (a) \$ [Detail table provided by RSA to entity-page 2]

Entry Age Normal Cost for
October 1, 2013—September 30, 2014 (b) \$ [Detail table page 2]

Actual Benefit Payments and Refunds for
October 1, 2013—September 30, 2014 (c) \$ [Detail table page 2]

Total Pension Liability
as of September 30, 2014
[(a) x (1.08)] + (b) – [(c) x (1.04)] \$ [Detail table page 2]

Actuarial assumptions. The total pension liability in the September 30, 2013 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Inflation	3.00%
Salary increases	3.75% - 7.25%
Investment rate of return*	8.00%

*Net of pension plan investment expense

Mortality rates for ERS were based on the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table Projected with Scale AA to 2015 set forward three years for males and two years for females. The rates of mortality for the period after disability retirement are according to the sex distinct RP-2000 Disability Mortality Table.

The actuarial assumptions used in the September 30, 2013 valuation were based on the results of an investigation of the economic and demographic experience for the ERS based upon participant data as of September 30, 2010. The Board of Control accepted and approved these changes on January 27, 2012, which became effective at the beginning of fiscal year 2012.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a log-normal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target asset allocation and best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class are as follows:

	Target Allocation	
Fixed Income	25.00%	5.00%
U.S. Large Stocks	34.00%	9.00%
U.S. Mid Stock	8.00%	12.00%
U.S. Small Stocks	3.00%	15.00%
International Developed Market Stocks	15.00%	11.00%
International Emerging Market Stocks	3.00%	16.00%
Real Estate	10.00%	7.5%
Cash	2.00%	
Total	<u>100.00%</u>	

Discount rate. The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was the long term rate of return, 8%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that the employer contributions will be made in accordance with the funding policy adopted by the ERS Board of Control. Based on those assumptions, components of the pension plan's fiduciary net position were projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current pan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

C. Changes in Net Pension Liability

	Increase (Decrease)		
	Total Pension Liability (a)	Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net Pension Liability (a)-(b)
Balances at 9/30/2013	\$	\$	\$
Changes for the year:			
Service cost	See Table		
Interest	Provided by		
Differences between expected and actual experience	RSA to entity-		
Contributions--employer	Page 1		
Contributions--employee			
Net investment income			
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions			
Administrative expense			
Transfers Among Employers			
Net changes			
Balances at 9/30/2014	\$	\$	\$

Sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate. The following table presents the Board’s net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 8%, as well as what the Board’s proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower (7%) or 1-percentage-point higher (9%) than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (7.00%)	Current Rate (8.00%)	1% Increases (9.00%)
Board’s net pension liability			

[See Detail Report provided by RSA to entity - Page 1]

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Pension plan fiduciary net position. Detailed information about the pension plan’s fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued RSA Comprehensive Annual Report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014. The supporting actuarial information is included in the GASB Statement No. 68 Report for the ERS prepared as of September 30, 2014. The auditor’s report dated June 3, 2015 on the Schedule of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position by Employer and accompanying notes is also available. The additional financial and actuarial information is available at www.rsa-al.gov.

The ERS financial statements are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and accrual basis of accounting. Contributions are recognized as revenues when earned, pursuant to the plan requirements. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan. Expenses are recognized when the corresponding liability is incurred, regardless of when the payment is made. Investments are reported at fair value. Financial statements are prepared in accordance with requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Under these requirements, the Plan is considered a component unit of the State of Alabama and is included in the State's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. (Note: GASB 68 paragraph .43 requires the disclosure of the aforementioned ERS information if the elements of the pension plan's basic financial statements are available in a stand-alone report and information is provided about how to obtain the report.)

D. Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

For the year ended September 30, 2015, the Board recognized pension expense of [see general ledger amount]. At September 30, 2015, the Board reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions of the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	See Detail Table provided by RSA to entity - Pg 2	See Detail Table Pg 2
Changes of assumptions	See Detail Table Pg 2	See Detail Table Pg 2
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	See Detail Table Pg 2	See Detail Table Pg 2
Employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date	*	See Detail Table Pg 2
Total	Total of above	Total of above

*Enter FY 2015 employer contributions applied to pension liability and add for total Deferred Outflows of Resources

Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended September 30:

2016	[See Detail Report provided by RSA to entity - Page 2]
2017	[See Detail Report Page 2]
2018	[See Detail Report Page 2]
2019	[See Detail Report Page 2]
2020	[See Detail Report Page 2]
Thereafter	[See Detail Report Page 2]

14. Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

NOTE: Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) are postemployment benefits other than pension benefits which include health care benefits, life insurance, disability income, etc., which are provided separately from a pension plan. The following disclosures should be made if the Board provides OPEB. However, GASB Statement No. 45, “*Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans*” may be effective for some hospitals. The effective date of GASB Statement No. 45 is dependent on the entity’s total annual revenues in the first fiscal year ending after June 15, 1999. The definitions and cutoff point for that purpose are the same as those in GASB Statement No. 34 for phase 1, 2 and 3 governments. The auditor should exercise care in determining whether the provisions of either GASB No. 45 which relate to employers or GASB Statement No. 43, “*Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans*” which relates to the OPEB plan, is applicable. A complete discussion of notes that should be included can be found in GASB Codification P50.

GASB Statement 75, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other than Pensions* issued by the Governmental Accounting Standard Board replaces GASB Statement 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Pension Benefits Other Than Pensions* as amended by Statement No. 57, *OPEB Measurements by Agent Employers and Agent Multiple-Employer Plans*, for OPEB . GASB Statement 75 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017 (Fiscal Year July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 for entities with a June 30 fiscal year end; or Fiscal Year October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018 for entities with a September 30th year end, and for entities on calendar year ending December 31st, January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018.) Early implementation is encouraged. This pronouncement substantially revised the accounting and reporting requirements, including notes disclosures for entities who provide other postemployment benefits (OPEB) other than pensions. The accounting and reporting required will depend on the type of plan the auditee has for OPEB (Single, Agent, Cost Sharing, Defined Contribution, Special Funding Situations and whether or not the OPEB

benefits are administered by a trust). Practitioners should apply the requirements of GASB Statement 75 based on the type of plan that their auditee has in place.

Illustrations are not provided. Practitioners should refer to Section P50 of the GASB Codification, or to the original pronouncement for sample note disclosures.

15. Commitments and Contingencies

The Board is committed under various noncancelable operating leases, all of which are for equipment and computers. These expire in various years through 2XY9. Future minimum operating lease payments are as follows:

<u>Year ending September 30:</u>	
2XX8	\$ 3,109
2XX9	2,898
2XY0	2,795
2XY1	2,780
2XY2	2,575
2XY3 – 2XY7	4,215
2XY8 – 2XY9	<u>1,065</u>
Total	<u>\$19,437</u>

Litigation. The Hospital is involved in litigation and regulatory investigations arising in the course of business. After consultation with legal counsel, management estimates that these matters will be resolved without material adverse effect on the Hospital's future financial position or results from operations.

Allowance for doubtful accounts. Beginning in 2XX4, the Hospital has provided care under an agreement with Associated HMO. The HMO currently owes the Hospital \$950,000, substantially all of which is overdue. The Hospital has notified the HMO that further services under the contract cannot be provided without payment on the outstanding balance. The HMO has assured the Hospital that additional funds are being obtained in order to pay the overdue balance and continue service under the agreement, however, if the HMO is unable to make payments, additional allowances for bad debts would need to be accrued.

16. Medical Malpractice Claims

The Hospital purchases professional and general liability insurance to cover medical malpractice claims. There are known claims and incidents that may result in an assertion of additional claims, as well as claims from unknown incidents that may be asserted arising from services provided to patients. The Hospital has employed independent actuaries to estimate the ultimate costs, if any, of the settlement of such claims. Accrued malpractice losses have been discounted at 7 percent and in management's opinion provide an adequate reserve for loss contingencies.

On March 15, 2XX7, a patient filed a suit against the Hospital for malpractice during care received as an inpatient. The Hospital believes it has meritorious defenses against the suit; however, the ultimate resolution of the matter could result in a loss. The patient has claimed \$16 million in actual damages. Under state law, punitive damages are determined at trial. The Hospital maintains insurance coverage for malpractice claims. The coverage does not include punitive damages awards. Trial is scheduled to occur within the next year.

17. Concentrations of Credit Risk

The Hospital grants credit without collateral to its patients, most of whom are local residents and are insured under third-party payor agreements. The mix of receivables from patients and third-party payors at September 30, 2XXZ and 2XXY, was as follows:

	<u>2XXZ</u>	<u>2XXY</u>
Medicare	51%	53%
Medicaid	17%	14%
Blue Cross	18%	17%
Other third-party payors	7%	9%
Patients	<u>7%</u>	<u>7%</u>
	100%	100%

18. Fair Value of Financial Instruments (illustrations not provided)

GASB Statement 72 contains several valuation approaches to determining fair value. These approaches include:

- (1) Market Approach – this approach uses prices and other relevant information that is generated by transactions in the market for identical or similar assets, liabilities, or groups of assets and liabilities. Examples of this approach would be obtaining quoted market prices, using market multiple techniques and employing a matrix pricing technique.
- (2) Cost Approach – this approach involves determining the amount required to replace the current service capacity of an asset.
- (3) Income Approach – this approach converts future amounts, such as cash flows or revenues and expenses, to a single current amount (discounted present value). Under this approach fair value measurements would reflect current market expectations about future amounts.

It also requires participants to use certain assumptions (inputs) when pricing an asset or liability, including assumptions about risk, to include the following:

- a. The risk inherent in a particular valuation technique used to measure fair value (pricing model)
- b. The risk inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique.

Inputs can be observable or unobservable. There are three levels of inputs within the fair value hierarchy:

-
1. **Level 1 inputs** – consists of quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the government can access at the measurement date.
 2. **Level 2 inputs** – consist of inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for an asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.
 3. **Level 3 inputs** – consist of unobservable inputs for an asset or liability.

Level 1 inputs are the highest priority and Level 3 inputs are the lowest priority in the fair value hierarchy.

The Hospital is required to disclose the following, organized by type of asset or liability and should give consideration in determining the level of detail and disaggregation and the amount of emphasis that should be placed on each disclosure requirement:

- a. The nature and characteristics, and risks of an asset or liability.
- b. The level of the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement is categorized.
- c. Whether the section or another section specifies a type for an asset or a liability. Items should be disaggregated by type as specified in applicable accounting standards.
- d. The objective or mission of the government.
- e. The characteristics of the government.
- f. The relative significance of assets and liabilities.
- g. Whether separately issued financial statements are available.
- h. Line items presented in the statement of net position.

In addition, the Hospital is required by paragraphs 81 and 82 of GASB 72 to disclose additional information for each type of asset or liability measured at fair value in the statement of net position after the initial recognition and fair value measurements of investment for certain entities that calculate the Net Asset Value (NAV) per share (or its equivalent). (See *GASB Codification*, Section 3100.162 and .163.)

19. Related Party Transactions

Describe any related party transactions, if applicable.

20. Promises to Contribute

At September 30, 2XX4, the Hospital had received \$1,500,000 of conditional promises to contribute to the building of a new facility for outpatient services. These contributions will be recorded as temporarily restricted support when received. The Hospital had no material outstanding unconditional promises of support at September 30, 2XXZ.

21. Subsequent Event

On December 22, 2XXZ, the Hospital signed a contract in the amount of \$1,050,000 for the purchase of certain real estate.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

PENSION PLANS:

Required Supplementary Information for Pension Plans include the following schedules and must include information for ten years:

- Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability
- Schedule of Hospital Board Contributions

OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB) PLANS

All Single and Agent Employers:

Paragraph 57 of GASB Statement 75 states:

“All Single and Agent Employers are required to present for each single or agent plan for which OPEB is provided as the following information.

- a. A 10-year schedule of changes in the net OPEB liability that separately presents the information required by paragraph 55 of Statement 75 for each year.
- b. A 10-year schedule presenting the following for each year:
 - (1) If the employer does not have a special funding situation:
 - a. The total OPEB liability
 - b. The OPEB plan’s fiduciary net position
 - c. The net OPEB liability
 - d. The OPEB plan’s fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability
 - e. The covered-employee payroll
 - f. The net OPEB liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll.
 - (2) If the employer has a special funding situation, information about the collective net OPEB liability:
 - a. The total OPEB liability
 - b. The OPEB plan’s fiduciary net position
 - c. The collective net OPEB liability
 - d. The nonemployer contributing entities’ total proportionate share (amount) of the collective net OPEB liability
 - e. The employer’s proportionate share (amount) of the collective net OPEB liability
 - f. The covered-employee payroll
 - g. The employer’s proportionate share (amount) of the collective net OPEB liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll
 - h. The OPEB plan’s fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability.
- c. If an actuarially determined contribution is calculated, a 10-year schedule presenting the following for each year:
 - (1) The actuarially determined contribution of the employer. For purposes of this schedule, actuarially determined contributions should exclude amounts, if any, associated with payables to the OPEB plan that arose in a prior fiscal year and those associated with separately financed specific liabilities of the individual employer to the OPEB plan.
 - (2) The amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the actuarially determined contributions of the employer. For purposes of this schedule, contributions should exclude amounts resulting from contributions recognized by the OPEB as noncurrent receivables.

-
- (3) The difference between the actuarially determined contributions of the employer and the amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the actuarially determined contribution of the employer.
 - (4) The covered-payroll.
 - (5) The amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the actuarially determined contributions of the employer as a percentage of covered-employee payroll.
- d. If an actuarially determined contribution is not calculated and the contribution requirements of the employer are statutorily or contractually established, a 10-year schedule presenting the following for each year:
- (1) The statutorily or contractually required employer contribution. For purposes of this schedule, statutorily or contractually required contributions should exclude amounts, if any, associated with payables to the OPEB plan that arose in a prior fiscal year and those associated with separately financed specific liabilities of the individual employer to the OPEB plan.
 - (2) The amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution. For the purposes of this schedule, contributions should exclude amounts resulting from contributions recognized by the OPEB plan as noncurrent receivables.
 - (3) The difference between the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution and the amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution.
 - (4) The covered-employee payroll.
 - (5) The amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution as a percentage of covered-employee payroll.”

The information contained in subparagraph (a) and (b) should be determined as of the measurement date of the net OPEB liability and is allowed to be presented in a single schedule. The information contained in subparagraph (c) and (d) should be determined as of the employer’s most recent fiscal year end.

Paragraph 58 of the statement also requires notes to the schedules to be prepared and specifies the information that should be included in the notes to the required schedules.

Cost-Sharing Employers

Paragraph 97 of GASB Statement 75 requires cost-sharing employers to present certain information as required supplementary information in the financial statements. This paragraph requires employers to present:

“a. A 10-year schedule presenting the following for each year:

- (1) If the employer does not have a special funding situation:
 - (a) The employer’s portion (percentage) of the collective net OPEB liability
 - (b) The employer’s proportionate share (amount) of the collective net OPEB liability
 - (c) The employer’s covered-employee payroll
 - (d) The employer’s proportionate share (amount) of the collective net OPEB liability as a percentage of the employer’s covered-employee payroll.
 - (e) The OPEB plan’s fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total payroll.
- (2) If the employer has a special funding situation:
 - (a) The employer’s proportion (percentage) of the collective net OPEB liability
 - (b) The employer’s proportionate share (amount) of the collective net OPEB liability
 - (c) The portion of the nonemployer contributing entities’ total proportionate share (amount) of the collective net OPEB liability that is associated with the employer²³
 - (d) The total of (b) and (c)
 - (e) The employer’s covered-employee payroll

²³ “The requirements of paragraph 116-118 address measurement by governmental nonemployer contributing entities. For purposes of paragraph 97, the requirements of those paragraphs apply to the determination of the proportionate share of the net OPEB liability attributed to a nongovernmental nonemployer contributing entity in a special funding situation.”

-
- (f) The employer's proportionate share (amount) of the collective net OPEB liability as a percentage of the employer's covered-employee payroll
 - (g) The OPEB plan's fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability.
- b. If the contribution requirements of the employer are statutorily or contractually established, a 10-year schedule presenting the following for each year:
- (1) The statutorily or contractually required employer contribution. For purposes of this schedule, the statutorily or contractually required contributions should exclude amounts, if any, associated with payables to the OPEB plan that arose in a fiscal year and those associated with separately financed specific liabilities of the individual employer to the OPEB plan.
 - (2) The amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution. For purposes of this schedule, contributions should exclude amounts resulting from contributions recognized by the OPEB plan as noncurrent receivables.
 - (3) The difference between the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution and the amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution.
 - (4) The employer's covered-employee payroll.
 - (5) The amount of contributions recognized by the OPEB plan in relation to the statutorily or contractually required employer contribution as a percentage of the employer's covered-employee payroll."

The information required in subparagraphs (a) and (b) is required to be presented separately for each cost-sharing OPEB plan where OPEB is provided. The information presented in subparagraph (a) is required to be presented as of the measurement date of the collective net OPEB liability. Subparagraph (b) information is required to be determined as of the employer's most recent fiscal year-end.

Paragraph 98 contains requirements relative to the information that should be included required notes to required schedules.

NOTE: Practitioners should refer to GASB Statement 75 to ensure that they have a complete understanding of the requirements of the statement.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Board Members and Officials **October 1, 20XX through September 30, 20XX**

<u>Board Member</u>	Position	Term Expires
Hon. Joe Doe	Chairman	2005
Hon. Bill Doe, M.D.	Vice-Chairman	2005
Hon. Leon Jones, M.D.	Secretary	2005
Hon. Joe Smith	Treasurer	Indefinite
Hon Jane Smith	Member	Indefinite
Hon Oak Breeley	Member	Indefinite
Hon. Mr. Mel Tillis	Member	Indefinite
<u>Official</u>		
Hon. Ben R. Crowe	Administrator	Indefinite

**REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND
ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

[Insert Appropriate Addressee]

We have audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Perpetual County Hospital Board as of and for the year ended September 30, 20__, and related notes to the financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated _____, 20X8.²⁴

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered _____'s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of _____'s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of _____' internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. *A material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.*

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

²⁴ Describe the nature of any departure from the standard report (e.g., qualified opinion, disclaimer of opinion, or adverse opinion). The auditor may also include additional communications for items reported in the auditor's report on the financial statements that are not modifications of the auditor's opinion (e.g., emphasis-of-matter paragraph because of an uncertainty about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern).

Compliance and Other Matters²⁵

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether _____'s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.²⁶

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.²⁷

CPA Firm

[Auditor's City and State]

[Date of Auditor's Report]

NOTE: This report is used when there are no reportable instances of noncompliance and no material weaknesses (no material weaknesses identified). Auditors should use portions of Examples 1 and 2 that apply to a specific auditee situation. For example, if the auditor will be giving an unmodified opinion on compliance but has identified significant deficiencies, the compliance section of this report (Example 1) would be used along with the internal control section of Example 2. Alternatively, if the auditor will be giving a modified

²⁵ Other Matters are certain findings of fraud or abuse. This heading and the reference to "other matters" in the following paragraph should appear in all reports, even if the report does not present or refer to findings of fraud or abuse or even if the only findings of fraud or abuse are presented in or referred to from the section on internal control over financial reporting.

²⁶ See *Government Auditing Standards*, paragraph 4.26, for reporting requirements for those instances where the auditor has detected instances of noncompliance with violations of provisions contracts or grant agreements and abuse that have an effect on the financial statements or other financial data that is significant to the audit objectives that are less than material but warrant the attention of those charged with governance.

²⁷ This paragraph complies with AU-C Section 905.11 [*Alert that Restricts the Use of the Auditor's Written Communications*] contained in the AICPA's Professional Standards. This section provides for a "purpose" alert in lieu of a "restricted use" alert for certain communications issued under *Government Auditing Standards*.

opinion on compliance but has not identified significant deficiencies the internal control section of this report would be used along with the compliance section of Example 2.

**REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND
ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS (Reportable Material Instances of
noncompliance and significant deficiencies)**

[Insert Appropriate Addressee]

We have audited in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Perpetual County Hospital Board as of and for the year ended September 30, 2X__, and related notes to the financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated _____, 2X__.²⁸

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered _____'s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Example Entity's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of _____'s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned function, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. *A material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying [*include title of schedule in which the findings are reported*] that we consider to be significant deficiencies. [List the reference number of the related findings, i.e.,

²⁸ See Footnote 24.

2013-001, 2013-011, etc]. [NOTE: **If a separate schedule is not included, list the findings, along with the applicable reference number in the report.** Modify last sentence in paragraph: “We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be significant deficiencies which area described below:”]

(Include Reference Number and Description of the significant deficiencies.)

Compliance and Other Matters²⁹

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether _____’s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under **Government Auditing Standards** and which are described in the accompanying [include title of the schedule where the findings are reported] as items [List reference numbers and the related findings, for example 2013-02 through 2013-010]³⁰ [NOTE: **If a separate schedule is not included, list the findings, along with the applicable reference number in the report.** Modify last sentence in paragraph: “The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under **Government Auditing Standards:**”]

(Include Reference Number and Description the instances of noncompliance.)

_____ Response to Findings

_____’s response to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Auditee Response [“or above” if findings and responses are included in the body of the report]. We did not audit _____’s response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with **Government Auditing Standards** in considering the entity’s

²⁹ Other Matters are certain findings of fraud or abuse. This heading and the reference to “other matters” in the following paragraph should appear in all reports, even if the report does not present or refer to findings of fraud or abuse or even if the only findings of fraud or abuse are presented in or referred to from the section on internal control over financial reporting.

³⁰ The referenced findings in this section include those that are instances of noncompliance and those that are fraud or abuse that are not considered significant deficiencies.

internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.³¹

CPA Firm

[Auditor's City and State]

[Date of Auditor's Report]

NOTE: This report is used when there are significant deficiencies and reportable instances of noncompliance and other matters identified. Auditors should use applicable portions of Examples 1 and 2 that apply to a specific auditee. For example, if the auditor found reportable instances of noncompliance but no significant deficiencies in internal control, the auditor should use the compliance section of this report (Example 2) with the internal control section of Example 1. Alternatively, if the auditor found no reportable instances of noncompliance but identified significant deficiencies in internal control, the compliance section of Example 1 should be used along with the internal control section of this report (Example 2).

³¹ See Footnote 27

AUDITEE LETTERHEAD

Auditee Response³²
For the Year Ended September 20, 2XX7

Finding 20XX-001:

Response:

Finding 20X1-012:

Response:

.

³² Note: The format for the auditee response may vary but should meet the criteria contained in *Government Auditing Standards* by the Comptroller General of the United States

:

APPENDIX II

Legal Compliance Information

PROLOGUE

The accompanying legal compliance information has been provided to help familiarize auditors with some of the legal requirements applicable to public hospitals. The accompanying legal compliance information is subject to change and it is the responsibility of the auditor performing the audit of a public hospital to ensure that the appropriate legal compliance testing is performed based on the most recent applicable laws and regulations.

Public Hospital Corporations

The information contained in this section is applicable to CPA's performing audits of public hospitals. Attorney General Opinions cited in this section do not necessarily represent all opinions issued during the time frame referenced. Further information may be secured by contacting the Department of Examiners of Public Accounts, Coordinator of Hospital Audits.

All public hospitals are operated pursuant to powers granted through statute. Some public hospitals are owned and operated directly by one or more counties and' incorporated municipalities. Others are organized as public hospital corporations or public hospital authorities pursuant to act of the legislature, either general or local law. Public hospitals and public hospital corporations created pursuant to statute are not political subdivisions of the State. Legal Compliance depends upon the particular law under which the public hospital is organized.

1. Public Hospital Organization.

Ala. Code §§11-95-1 through 11-95-21

Each county and any municipality located in the county may act jointly in authorizing the incorporation of one or more public corporations for the purpose of providing public hospitals facilities in such counties and to invest each corporation so organized with all powers that may be necessary to enable it to accomplish its purpose. Code of Alabama, §11-95-1.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINIONS

- The Perry County Hospital Board was organized under the provisions of Sections 22-21-70, et seq. Thus, only the provisions of that code section apply to the Perry County Board. Section 11-95-7, which authorizes the creation of **joint municipal/county hospital boards**, is only applicable to a county hospital board created under that section. Attorney General Opinion 83-00466, dated September 9, 1983, informed Perry County Probate Judge Floyd R. Cook.
- Public hospitals incorporated under Section 11-95-11 are **exempt** from all **county, municipal, and local taxes** and are exempt from **excise taxes** levied by any county, municipality or other political subdivision of the State in respect to the privilege of engaging in any of the activities in which such corporation may engage. Those hospitals are further exempt from paying any **fees** to a judge of probate of any county in respect to its incorporation, amendment of its certificate of incorporation or recording of any document. Attorney General Opinion 91-00194, dated March 20, 1991, written to State Senator Larry D. Dixon.

2. Hospitals and other Health Care Facilities.

Ala. Code §§22-21-1 through 22-21-8

Corporate authorities of any town or city and the county commission of any county may establish hospitals for the reception of the sick or infirm or of persons suspected of having infectious or contagious diseases. Code of Alabama, §22-21-1. Hospitals established by joint action of a county and a city or town may not be operated by a private corporation or association.

Any public body heretofore or hereafter created and established by ordinance or resolution pursuant to Chapter 21 may become a body corporate and politic under the name set forth in such ordinance or resolution by filing a certified copy of such ordinance or resolution with the Secretary of State. Ala. Code §22-21-5. The corporations provided for shall have all the powers and authority of a health care authority as provided for by Article 11 of Chapter 21, Sections 22-21-310 through 22-21-344, except the corporations shall not exercise any power which is inconsistent or repugnant to the provisions of the ordinance or resolution under which it came into existence.

In Alabama Hospital Association v. Dillard, 388 So.2d 903 (Ala. 1980) the State Supreme Court had before it the question: "whether otherwise lawful expenditures made by public hospital association and public hospital corporations are prohibited by Sections 68 and 94 of the constitution." Id. at 904. The court held "that a public corporation is a separate entity from the state and from any local political subdivision, including a city or county within which it is organized." Id. at 905. The Court observed:

The powers of public hospital associations and corporations are defined by statute. Ala. Code §§22-21-1, et seq.

Under these various statutes public hospitals have the authority to make expenditures within the corporate powers which are necessary and appropriate and consistent with the maintenance of public health services and facilities. Of course, they are not authorized by statute, or by common law, to exceed the corporate powers, nor may they ignore the fiduciary responsibilities and duties that are an integral part of all corporate existence.

Attorney General Opinions

The opinions referenced below relate to hospitals established under Sections 22-21-1, et seq.

- Sections 22-21-1 and 22-21-5 authorize the establishment of a **public corporation**. Any entity organized pursuant thereto is, accordingly a public corporation. Attorney General Opinion 79-00419, dated June 29, 1979, written to J. Ben Swindle, Director of the State Agency for Social Security.
- **Family members** of the mayor or councilman of Phenix City may be appointed to the board of directors of the Homer D. Cobb Memorial Hospital. It was also determined that city funds may be deposited in an institution where relatives of the mayor or a councilman is an officer if such relative is not a member of the household and is not financially dependent on the mayor or councilman. Also, city funds may be deposited in a mutual savings and loan association where the mayor or councilman is a depositor. Attorney General Opinion 84-00248, dated April 17, 1984, written to Phenix City Attorney Sam E. Loftin.

-
- If a **member of the board owned** less than 10% of the **stock** in the bank where board funds are kept that §41-16-60, relating to conflicts of interest, would not be violated if the board member continued to serve on the board. If the board member owns 10% or more of the stock in the bank, he cannot serve on the board without being in violation of Section 41-16-60 unless the funds of the hospital board are deposited in another bank. If the board member owns less than 10% of the stock and continues to serve on the hospital board, public policy would require that he refrain from participating in any discussion or voting on any matter regarding the placing of the funds in the bank where he serves as director. Attorney General Opinion 84-00421, dated August 20, 1984, written to Enterprise City Administrator Carl W. Griffin.
 - A municipal hospital board may **invest funds** not presently needed for its corporate purposes only as provided in Section 22-21-77(15). Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 85-00405, dated June 26, 1985, written to Horner W. Cornett.
 - Employees of a regional medical center organized pursuant to Sections 22-21-1, et seq., are **public employees**. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 88-00365 dated July 14, 1988 addressed to Ryan DeGraffenreid, Jr.
 - The center may function as its own general contractor in the **construction and renovation of facilities** that it owns. It may employ experts such as superintendents of construction, consultants, and others possessing a high degree of professional skill. The employment of such experts falls within an exception to the competitive bid requirements of the law, Section 41-16-50(a)(3). Attorney General Opinion 92-00018, dated October 10, 1991, addressed to Allen C. Jones, attorney for Edge Regional Medical Center.
 - The **audit** of public owned hospitals is in lieu of the biennial audit by the Examiners of Public Accounts required for county departments, agencies, boards and institutions. Attorney General Opinion 93-00051, dated November 10, 1992, informed Oliver Kitchens attorney for the Randolph County Hospital Association.

3. Public Hospital Associations.

Ala. Code §§22-21-50 through 22-21-57

"[A]ny one or more local governing bodies located in the same or contiguous counties within a zone determined by the State Board of Health as a zone for public hospitals may act to establish a hospital association, a body corporate and politic." Code of Alabama, §22-21-50. A hospital association shall consist of directors appointed by the local governing bodies. Ala. Code §22-21-51.

Attorney General Opinions

The opinions referenced on the following pages relate to public hospital associations organized under the provisions of Sections 22-21-50, et seq.

- A county hospital association may **purchase a building** if acquisition of the building is to promote the general health of the county. The association may transfer its remaining assets to the county as a step toward dissolution of the association. Attorney General Opinion 81-00104, dated November 26, 1980, written to David T. Hyde, Attorney for the Hospital Association of Conecuh County.
- A county hospital association may not **finance the sale of real property**. Alabama Hospital Association v. Dillard, 388 So.2d 903 (Ala. 1980), has since held that public hospital corporations and public hospital associations are not political subdivisions of the State and not subject to Sections 68 and 94 of the Constitution of 1901. It was also held that a county hospital association may enter into a lease option-to-buy, with a private concern, and that a county hospital association may sell its medical facility to a municipality. Attorney General Opinion 82-00377, dated June 9, 1982, addressed to Mr. Neal Williams, Chairman of the Lawrence County Hospital Association.
- A hospital association may establish a **physician scholarship program** and may enter into a contract with a physician providing income guarantees and/or expense subsidies. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 82-00549 written to Attorney Robert H. Brogden.
- The DeKalb County Hospital Association is a public hospital association, a body corporate and politic established by the local governing body. The directors are appointed by the local governing body; therefore, the hospital association is a "**governmental entity**" within the meaning of Section 11-93-2. Attorney General Opinion 87-00134, dated March 31, 1987, addressed to Attorney William D. Scruggs.
- The **county commission** cannot call a meeting of the directors and the executive committee of the Randolph County Hospital Association. The county commission, however, can ask the hospital association to hold a meeting and interested citizens may attend the meeting. A hospital association is a separate entity from any local political subdivision, including a city or county, although the board of directors may be appointed by the county governing body. Alabama State Florist Association Inc. v. Lee County Hospital Board, 479 So.2d 720 (Ala. 1985). Attorney General Opinion 91-00130, dated December 28, 1990, written to Randolph County Probate Judge Mack Diamond.
- Section 22-21-50 provides for the steps for incorporating and obtaining from the Secretary of State a **certificate of incorporation** for a hospital association. Because there is no specific method of amending the articles of incorporation of a hospital corporation created under Sections 22-21-50, et seq., given by statute, the articles should be amended by the

same procedure that the original articles of incorporation were adopted and issued. It was also determined that once the Randolph County Hospital Association was designated by the county commission to receive hospital tax proceeds, the duty to receive hospital tax proceeds cannot be delegated to the county commission. Attorney General Opinion 93-00051, dated November 10, 1992, addressed to Attorney Oliver Kitchens.

-
- There is no statutory authority for a hospital association to **invest** its funds in **mutual funds**. A public hospital corporation is not authorized by statute or common law to exceed its corporate powers. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 94-00137, dated April 20, 1994, and addressed to DeKalb County Hospital Association Attorney W. N. Watson.
 - A county hospital association board of directors is responsible for **maintaining its records** at an appropriate location under the supervision of a responsible person designated by the board. Attorney General Opinion 97-00235, dated July 25, 1997, written to Randolph County Hospital Association Attorney Oliver Kitchens.
 - The Dale County Hospital Association is subject to the **State Competitive Bid Law** set forth in Sections 41-16-50, et seq. It was further stated that a project for the renovation of the hospital association's existing facility or construction of a new facility and the selection and employment of a general contractor for said project are subject to the State Competitive Bid Law. On October 27, 1997 Mr. Quattlebaum was informed by letter of the Attorney General that the above-referenced opinion considered only the specific statute inquired about, Sections 41-16-50, et seq. However, Act No. 97-225, which amended Section 41-16-50 and the State's public work laws, Sections 39-1-1, et seq., should be reviewed as they may also apply to the renovation project of a hospital association. Office of the Attorney General, dated July 29, 1997, issued Opinion 97-00238 written to "Dale Medical Center" Attorney Kenneth W. Quattlebaum.
 - The Dale County Hospital Association is not authorized to enter into a **joint venture** with private individuals or business entities by creating a corporation, partnership, or limited liability corporation to provide health services. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 98-1, dated October 3, 1997, directed to Attorney Kenneth W. Quattlebaum.

4. Hospital Boards.

Ala. Code §§22-21-70 through 22-21-83

Any "corporations organized under this division shall be nonprofit corporations, and no part of net earnings thereof shall inure to the benefit of any member thereof or other individual or private corporation." Code of Alabama, §22-21-71. A corporation established under Sections 22-21- 70, et seq., is a separate entity from the state and any local political subdivision including the county in which it is organized. See Alabama State Florist Association v. Lee County Hospital Board, 479 So.2d 720 (Ala. 1985). Members of the board of directors shall serve without compensation, except they may be reimbursed for actual expenses incurred in the performance of their duties as directors. Ala. Code §22-21-76.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINIONS

- A town may **contribute funds** to a hospital organized under the provisions of Sections 22-21-70, et seq. Attorney General Opinion 80-00276, dated May 18, 1980, written to Town of South Vinemont Mayor E. W. Patton.
- A county hospital board subject to the provisions of Section 22-21-77 has the power to **lease** the hospital's facility to private individuals. Any lease agreement must provide adequate consideration for the lease of the facility. Attorney General Opinion 80-00386, dated June 9, 1980, written to Secretary Morgan Reynolds of the Chilton County Hospital Board.
- A county may authorize the **organization of a county hospital board** under the provisions of Sections 22-21-70 through 83; or, a county hospital authority under the provisions of Sections 22-21-170 through 191. It was stated that if the county were to authorize the creation of either a hospital board or hospital authority it would be lawful for the municipality to provide services or funds to the board or authority. Ala Code §§ 22-21-81, 22-21-179(21) and 11-47-134. Office of the Attorney General in an August 6, 1980 opinion (80-00498) addressed to Mayor F. Basil Clark of the City of Clanton.
- A county hospital may authorize the **sale of hospital property** for valuable consideration without obtaining approval of the county commission. It was further stated that the proceeds of the sale of the hospital property go to the county hospital board. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 81-00020, dated October 10, 1980, written to Attorney Morgan Reynolds.
- Only **expenditures** which are necessary for the operation or maintenance of a public nonprofit hospital corporation should be reimbursed to the hospital administrator and board members of the hospital. Attorney General Opinion 81-00141, dated January 6, 1981 written to James J. F. Berry, attorney for the Cullman County Hospital Board.
-
- **Directors** of a public hospital corporation may also be a member of the county board of education. Attorney General Opinion 81-00142, dated January 6, 1981, written to A. R. McVay Superintendent of the Baldwin County Board of Education.
- Funds allocated for the purpose of paying the **salary** of county hospital **staff physicians** may then be conveyed to the Perry County Hospital Board pursuant to Section 22-21-81. This Section authorizes counties to convey to a county hospital board, without consideration, monies allocated for the operation of a county hospital, provided that the transfer is authorized by a duly authorized resolution of the county commission. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 81-00267 dated February 19, 1981 addressed to Perry County Commission Chairman Floyd R. Cook.

-
- The Colbert County Hospital Board has specific authority under Section 22-21-77(14) to **invest surplus funds** solely in interest bearing securities issued by the United States. Attorney General Opinion 81-00273, dated March 5, 1981, addressed to Attorney Jack Huddleston.
 - A **conflict of interest** exists if the administrator of a county serves on the board of directors of the county hospital corporation. Attorney General Opinion 81-00500, written on August 14, 1981 to Bullock County Hospital Board Chairman Don Priori.
 - The hospital board is the properly designated agency of the county to acquire, construct, equip, operate, and maintain public hospital facilities. Therefore, the proceeds of the **special tax** should be paid over to the board and used by it for anyone of the purposes for which the tax has been voted. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 81-00536, dated August 25, 1981, written to Herman Moore, Chairman of the Board of Directors of Shelby Memorial Hospital.
 - The Chairman of the Greene County Board of Education **may also serve** on the Greene County Hospital Board organized under the provisions of Sections 22-21-70 through 22-21-112. Attorney General Opinion 82-00400, dated June 17, 1982, addressed to the Chairman of the Greene County Commission, William M. Branch.
 - The Jackson County hospital board must make some **showing of necessity** when exercising its discretionary authority to provide **incentives** to locate in Jackson County. It was stated that it would appear to be reasonable to pay the moving expenses of a perspective physician and provide free office space for one year on board owned property. It was also stated that an argument could "probably be made" that interest free loans for the purpose of purchasing capital equipment would be acceptable provided the proper security agreement is filled. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion, 82-00510, dated August 13, 1982 addressed to William W. Dillard, Chief Examiner Department of Examiners of Public Accounts.
 - **Surplus funds** from tax levied under Amendment No. 72 may be used for construction, operation and maintenance of the county hospital and can be used for other public health facilities that the county governing body deems in the public interest. Attorney General Opinion, 82-00564 dated September 22, 1982, written to Mr. John W. Lowe.
 - The Winston County Hospital Board may contract with a private ambulance company for **ambulance service** and pay a periodic subsidy for those services. Attorney General Opinion, 83-00059, dated November 3, 1982, written to Tillman L. Hill, Administrator of Burdick-West Memorial Hospital.
 - The medical center may contract for **ambulance service** upon receipt of adequate consideration. Attorney General Opinion 83-00330, dated May 30, 1983, written to C. E. Carter, Chairman of the Shelby Medical Center.

-
- Membership of the Greene County Hospital **board** must be nine members pursuant to Section 22-21-73(a)(3). Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 83-00353, dated June 20, 1983, addressed to Greene County Attorney John H. England, Jr.
 - The provisions of Sections 11-95-1, et seq, are applicable to **joint municipal/county hospital boards** and not to a county hospital board organized under Sections 22-21-70, et seq. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 83-00466, dated September 9, 1998, addressed to Perry County Probate Judge Floyd R. Cook.
 - The Bibb County Commission may **remove a member** of the Hospital Board before the end of his or her term only if the member becomes incapable of acting as a board member. Attorney General Opinion, 84-000216, dated March 23, 1984, written to George Allen Desmond, Chairman of the Bibb County Commission.
 - The Jackson County Hospital cannot expend **public funds in a risk venture** with a for profit entity. Section 22-21-70 neither contemplates nor provides for funds of the hospital board to be expended or allotted to any institution or organization over which the hospital board has no direct control or supervision. (Opinion to Dean H. Byrd, Sr., Quarterly Reports of the Attorney General, Volume 136 at page 23; opinion to Morgan Reynolds October 14, 1982). Attorney General Opinion 85-00242 written to Jackson County Attorney Jack Livingston, dated March 12, 1985.
 - Surplus **millage tax** funds of the board may be contributed to the county commission to aid in the care of indigents. Attorney General Opinion, 86-00111, dated January 9, 1986, addressed to Morgan Reynolds, Secretary Chilton County Hospital Board.
 - The North Baldwin Hospital Board may **sell and finance real property** belonging to the board. The board should receive fair market value and the board's interests should be protected by a financing agreement. Financing the sale of real property is a form of legal conveyance or transfer within the authority of Section 22-21-77(4). Attorney General Opinion 86-00373, dated September 22, 1986, written to James H. Robertson, Certified Public Accountant.
 - A hospital board may **appropriate funds** to a county school board for the express purpose of maintaining a school health clinic. Attorney General Opinion 86-00383, dated September 29, 1986, written to William F. Covington, Henry County Board of Education Superintendent.
 - The Perry County Hospital Board may share some of the **rent monies** from the lease of the county hospital with the Perry County Commission if there will be sufficient funds to pay all obligations of the board which may arise. Attorney General in Opinion 87-00235, dated June 29, 1987, to Judge Floyd R. Cook.

-
- The Chilton County Hospital is authorized to voluntarily **contract for the payment of funds** to the Chilton County Department of Public Health, the Chilton-Shelby Mental Health Center, and Central Alabama Community Hospital so long as said funds are used for the purposes specified in Section 22-21-77(5). It was further proffered that the board may share some of its funds with the county commission as long as there will be sufficient funds to pay all obligations of the board which may arise. Attorney General Opinion 88-00218, dated March 29, 1988, to Morgan Reynolds, Secretary of the Chilton County Hospital Board.
 - The Cherokee County Commission, the Cherokee County Hospital Board, established in accordance with Sections 22-21-70, et seq., or the Baptist Center-Cherokee, a private entity which operates the hospital in that county, may operate an **ambulance service**. However, none of these entities has a legal responsibility to operate an ambulance service; as such authorization is permissive and not mandatory. It was also determined that the four **mill tax** proceeds collected under Constitutional Amendment No. 72 must be paid by the tax collector to the Cherokee County Hospital Board. The board is the proper agency to expend such funds. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 88-00313, dated June 10, 1988, written to Attorney Dean H. Buttram, Jr..
 - Sections 22-21-70, et seq., does not specifically require that the directors of the county hospital boards to keep **minutes of director's meetings**, under the general law pertaining to nonprofit corporations, the directors of a county hospital must keep minutes of the meeting. The minutes must reflect motions made and seconded and by whom. Attorney General Opinion 90-0045, dated November 16, 1989, written to Representative Richard Laird.
 - Pursuant to Section 22-21-77(4) and (5), the Winston County Hospital Board may offer such **recruitment incentives** to physicians to locate in Winston County as the board reasonably determines are necessary. Although the hospital association in question was incorporated pursuant to section 22-21-50, et seq., it was the opinion of the Attorney General that Section 22-21-77(4) and (5) would authorize the hospital board to lease office property and to provide the leased space at no cost to the doctor in private practice if the board reasonably determined that these steps are necessary to recruit physicians in the county. The opinion cited a September 10, 1982 opinion written to Robert H. Brogden which held that the Dale County Hospital Association could contract with a prospective physician to provide clearly defined compensation, including income guarantees and or expense subsidies, for services rendered to the hospitals. The board must make some showing of necessity when exercising its discretionary authority to provide such incentives. In order to attract doctors to the county the board may pay a physician's salary, rent and other expense until such time as the doctor can maintain his or her own private practice. Attorney General Opinion 90-00279, dated May 17, 1990, written to Attorney John W. Lowe.

-
- A hospital board is not authorized to construct, own and operate an **assisted living facility**. However, health care authorities incorporated under Sections 22-21-310 through 22-21-359 may do so, and under the provisions of Sections 22-21-351 and 22-21-352 the board may be able to reincorporate as a health care authority pursuant to Sections 22-21-310, et seq. Attorney General Opinion 95-00030, dated November 7, 1994, written to Mary F. Gunter, Secretary/Treasurer of the Henry County Hospital Board.
 - The **City** of Luverne may **contribute funds** to the Crenshaw County Hospital organized under Sections 22-21-70, et seq., or the Crenshaw County Commission. Also, it was concluded that the hospital board may contract with a **nonprofit corporation**, to **operate an emergency room**. Attorney General Opinion 95-00141, dated March 8, 1995, addressed to Attorney Michael E. Jones.
 - A county commission, by virtue of Section 22-21-81, may, by a duly adopted resolution, **appropriate funds** to a hospital corporation. If the **electric board of the city** has **surplus funds** from the issuance of bonds and the collection of revenue, and if the proceedings authorizing the issuance of bonds provided that such surplus funds can be used in any lawful manner or similar language, the electric board may contribute these surplus funds to the Crenshaw County Hospital Board or the Crenshaw County Commission. The county hospital board may then contract with the **nonprofit corporation** leasing the hospital for the **operation of an emergency room**. Attorney General Opinion 95-00143, dated March 9, 1995, written to Michael E. Jones, Attorney for the Electric Board for the City of Luverne. See also, Attorney General Opinion 81-267, dated February 19, 1981, addressed to Perry County Commissioner Floyd Cook.
 - Hospital boards may own and operate **rural health clinics** in such locations in the county as the board determines best serves the citizens of the county. Attorney General Opinion 97-00187, dated May 15, 1997, written to Monroe County Hospital Board Chairman Jackie Weatherford.
 - A county hospital organized pursuant to Sections 22-21-70 through 22-21-83 may expend funds derived from an **ad valorem tax** to make physical improvements to a health care facility owned by it. Whether it can expect to **recoup** such **expenditure from a for-profit operating company** to which it leases the facility involves factual issues which are uniquely within the province of the board, and which must be determined by it. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 98-00176, dated June 30, 1998, written to Bullock County Hospital Board Chairman Hawthorne Reed.
 - Section 22-21-76(4) of the Code of Alabama would not prohibit a **county superintendent of education** from also **serving as a hospital board member**. It was also stated that Article XVII, Section 280, of the Constitution of Alabama does not prohibit an individual from **simultaneous serving** as county superintendent of education and as a member of the

hospital board. Attorney General Opinion 99-00110, dated February 11, 1999, addressed to Morgan Reynolds, Secretary of the Chilton County Hospital Board.

- A county hospital board may not make **loans** to a **private, for-profit corporation** using funds it derives from **ad valorem taxes**. Attorney General Opinion 2002-226, dated May 8, 2002, written to Bullock County Hospital Board Chairman Hawthorne Reed.
- A public corporation may **dispose of property** it owns "by any form of legal conveyance". The Tallapoosa County Hospital Authority may sell its assets to a private entity and that state law does not require that any other entity approve the board's actions. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 2002-335, dated September 12, 2002, written to Tallapoosa County Hospital Board Attorney Robin F. Reynolds.
- The board may use funds derived from **ad valorem taxes** to help fund a **not for profit rescue unit** for the benefit of the citizens of Bullock County. Attorney General Opinion 2003-108, dated March 24, 2003, written to Bullock County Hospital Board Chairman Hawthorne Reed.
- It is permissible for the Bullock County Hospital Board to **sell real property** to an individual for valuable consideration/fair market value without advertising the sale. Attorney General Opinion 2004-139, dated May 18, 2004, written to Chairman Hawthorne Reed of the Bullock County Hospital Board.
- The board may contract with a **nonprofit organization**, which maintains a public health facility, which will thereafter set up a fund whereby individuals may apply for assistance in receiving **paramedic training**. Attorney General Opinion 2006-053, dated February 13, 2006, addressed to Chairman Donald R. Priori of the Bullock County Hospital Board.
- Because the ad valorem tax proceeds that the Bullock County Hospital Board receives may not be used for indigent care, the Bullock County Hospital Board may not contribute tax proceeds to a nonprofit or for-profit corporation that will thereafter provide nonemergency medical transportation for indigent and uninsured patients to out-of-county dialysis clinics. Attorney General Opinion 2011-028, dated January 12, 2011, addressed to Bullock County Hospital Board Attorney Elizabeth Smithart.

5. Hospital Corporations.

Ala. Code §§22-21-100 through 22-21-112

"[T]he county commission of any county in which a special tax for hospital purposes has heretofore been or shall hereafter be authorized at an election held in the county pursuant to the provisions of any amendment to the Constitution shall have the power to designate a hospital corporation in the county as the agency to acquire, construct, equip, operate, and maintain public hospital facilities in the county as a whole if the said special tax is a countywide tax or that portion of the county in which the tax shall have been voted if the

said tax is not a countywide tax." Code of Alabama, §22-21-101. Section 22-21-102 provides that when a hospital corporation has been so designated by the county commission that any proceeds from any special tax for hospitals purposes that shall be paid to such corporation shall be used for one or more of the purposes for which the tax has been voted.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINIONS

- A hospital corporation designated by the county commission under the provisions of Sections 22-21-100, et seq., may obligate the county commission to continue a **special county tax** at a rate sufficient to prevent the impairment of the obligation of any contract made with respect to such tax. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 80-00471, dated July 21, 1980, written to John Hollis Jackson, attorney for the Chilton County Commission.
- The board operating under Sections 22-21-100 through 112 may contribute **public funds** to the company providing **insurance** to the hospital if the board finds that such a donation serves a valid public purpose. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 2002-329, dated September 3, 2002, informed J. Daryl Betts, Certified Public Accountant for the Crenshaw County Hospital Board.

6. County and Municipal Hospital Authorities.
Ala. Code §§22-21-170 thru 22-21-191

Section 22-21-171 of the Code of Alabama authorizes each of the several counties of the state the organization of a public corporation or corporations for the purpose of acquiring, owning and operating public hospitals and other health care related facilities in the county in which such corporation shall be organized.

7. The Health Care Authorities Act of 1982.
Ala. Code §§22-21-310 through 22-21-344

Existing hospitals may reincorporate under the authority of Sections 22-21-310, et seq. Section 22-21-316(c) provides that the board of directors shall serve without compensation, but shall be reimbursed for expenses actually incurred in and about the performance of duties. A majority of the directors shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. Any meeting of the board may be adjourned by a majority of the directors present. A single director may adjourn the meeting, if he is the only director present.

Section 22-21-318(a)(6) allows a health care authority to lease or otherwise make available any health care facilities or other of its properties and assets to such persons, firms, partnerships, associations or corporations and on such terms as the board deems to be appropriate. Thus, the board may provide a physician with equipment and other assets. Section 22-21-318(a)(28) provides that the authority may make any expenditure of any moneys under its control that would, if the authority were generally subject to the state corporate income taxation, be considered as ordinary and necessary expense of the authority within the meaning of Section 40-18-35 and applicable regulations there under and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to expend its moneys for the

recruitment of employees and physicians and dentists and other health care professionals

...

The provisions of Chapter 25 of Title 36 (Ethics Law) shall, any provision thereof to the contrary notwithstanding, not apply to any authority, the members of the board or any of its officers or employees. Code of Alabama, §22-21-334. Section 22-21-335 provides that the provisions of Article 2 and 3 of Chapter 16 of Title 41 (Bid Law) shall not apply to any authority, the members of its boards or any of its officers or employees. Health authorities are exempted from the provisions of Section 36-25A-1, et seq. (Open Meetings Act) or other similar laws. Ala. Code §22-21-316(c).

Additional powers are found at Title 22, Chapter 21 Article 11, Division 1, Sections 22-21-350 through 22-21-356. Further additional powers are found at Article 11 Division 2, Sections 22-21-357 through 22-21-359.

Attorney General Opinions

The following opinions are related to health care authorities organized under the provisions of Sections 22-21-310, et seq.

- Under certain circumstances, a health care authority may be covered by the provisions of the **immunity statute** found at Sections 10-11-1, et seq. The authority may provide for **indemnification** of its directors for liability rising from their acts or omissions in the scope of their duties. Attorney General Opinion 88-00249, dated April 7, 1988, written to Representative Perry O. Hooper, Jr.
- The authority may provide for **indemnification** of members of its board of directors, officers, and certain other persons and may purchase liability **insurance** to cover directors, officers, et al. Attorney General Opinion 89-00105, dated December 30, 1988, written to J. H. Ford, Jr., President of DCH Health Care Authority.
- A hospital authority, its contractors, and subcontractors are **exempt from sales and use tax** under Section 22-21-333 for the construction materials and equipment used in the construction of a health care facility. Attorney General Opinion 89-00188, dated February 17, 1989, written to Attorney George M. Barnett.
- The authority did not owe **taxes** billed against the property purchased on September 30, 1991, though the deed was not filed on October 1, 1991. It was concluded that the tax assessed is void and no effective lien is attached to property owned by an **exempt entity**. Attorney General Opinion 93-00127, dated February 23, 1993, written to Charles Nabors, Administrator of the Health Care Authority of the City of Demopolis.
- A health care authority may enter into an agreement whereby the authority pays for the education and training of a **hospital-based physician, medical student, or health care**

worker in exchange for a commitment from the individual to work a specified period of time for the authority. Attorney General Opinion 93-00143, dated March 1, 1993, written to Phillip E Dotson, Chief Executive Officer for the Athens/Limestone Hospital.

- Marshall County Health Care Authority and other health care authorities organized, incorporated, or reincorporated pursuant to the Health Care Authorities Act of 1982, may **invest surplus funds** in those securities enumerated in Section 22-21-355, to the extent permitted by the contracts that an authority has with holders of its securities. Attorney General Opinion 94-00025, dated October 21, 1993, written to Marshall County Health Care Authority Attorney George Barnett.
- The tax imposed on the business of **leasing or renting** is levied against the lessor not the lessee. Ala. Code §40-12-222. It was stated that pursuant to Section 22-21-333 the exemption relating to leases which is granted to health care authorities is applicable only when those authorities are lessors. Attorney General Opinion 94-00217, dated July 7, 1994, written to Marshall County Health Care Authority Attorney George Barnett.
- The Escambia County Health Authority retains the tax-exempt status conferred upon it by and within Section 22-21-333 and that approval of the governing body of Escambia County was not necessary prior to entering into a **lease and asset transfer agreement** with Escambia County Alabama Community Hospitals, Inc. It was further stated that the tax collector should continue to pay and distribute county taxes to the authority in accordance with the laws and regulations in effect. Attorney General Opinion 96-00090, dated January 9, 1996, written to Robert S. Presto, Attorney for the Escambia County Commission.
- A health care authority under the provisions of Sections 22-21-310, et seq., and its contractor and subcontractors are exempt from the **payment of sales and use tax** on the purchase of construction materials and equipment used in the construction of an addition to an existing health care facility. The same conclusion was reached in Attorney General Opinion 89-00188, dated February 17, 1989, written to attorney George M. Barnett. Attorney General Opinion 96-00163, dated March 26, 1996, written to Warren H. Beck, Chairman of the Geneva County Health Care Authority, Inc.
- The authority has the power under Section 22-21-318 to construct an **assisted living facility** building and handicap-equipped apartment for the elderly. Attorney General Opinion 96-0032, dated November 3, 1995, written to Irby A. Keener, Jr., Attorney for the Cherokee County Health Care Authority.
- The Escambia County Health Care Authority retains the tax-exempt status conferred upon it by and within Section 22-21-333. Approval of the governing body of Escambia County was not necessary prior to entering into the **lease and asset transfer agreement** with Escambia County Alabama Community Hospital, Inc. It was also determined that the tax collector of Escambia County Alabama should continue to pay and distribute county taxes

to the Authority in accordance with the laws and regulations now in effect. Attorney General Opinion 96-00090, dated January 9, 1996, addressed to Escambia County Commission Attorney Robert S. Presto.

- A city may **transfer funds** to a health care authority for providing **ambulance service** and designate the authority as the sole emergency service provider within the municipality and its police jurisdiction. Attorney General Opinion 96-00107, dated January 25, 1996, addressed to City of Opelika Attorney Guy F. Gunter, III.
- The St. Clair County Health Care Authority does not have the right to provide or pay former non-vested employees additional compensation obtained from **refunds** received by the Authority following termination of participation in the **Retirement Systems of Alabama**. Attorney General Opinion 96-125, dated February 6, 1996, addressed to Attorney William J. Trussell.
- Properties of a health care authority are exempt from **municipal licenses and permit fees**. Attorney General Opinion 96-00201, dated May 1, 1996, written to CEO/Administrator Charles E. Nabors for Tombigbee Healthcare Authority.
- Health care authorities are **exempt** from the payment of **sales, use, and excise taxes** under Article I and II of Chapter 23 of Title 40. Attorney General Opinion 96-00202, dated May 3, 1996, written to State Health Officer Donald E. Williamson.
- The Tombigbee Healthcare Authority, operating the Bryan W. Whitfield Hospital, is not exempt by Section 22-21-333 from paying the \$1.50 fee for **filing a claim** against an estate. Attorney General Opinion 97-00198, dated June 4, 1997, addressed to Clarke County Probate Judge Clarence Watters.
- Under the provisions of Sections 22-21-311 to 22-21-359, an **election** held pursuant to Act No. 114 (1973) would be held to be of no effect if brought to the consideration of a court of competent jurisdiction by a proper complaint alleging **unconstitutionality** of the act on the basis of Sections 42, 43, 44 and 212 of the Constitution of Alabama. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 98-00068, dated January 7, 1998, informed Wyatt R. Haskell, attorney for the Blount County Health Care Authority.
- An amendment to the bylaws of the Jackson County Health Care authority providing for the **removal of a member** of the board of directors by a vote of two-thirds of the directors conflicts with Section 22-21-316(d) providing for the removal of the members of the board of a health care authority. Attorney General Opinion 99-0009, dated October 19, 1998, written to Attorney Gary W. Lackey.
- An authority may **lease its facilities and equipment** and enter into an agreement for the management and the administration of the hospital. It was also stated that the referenced health authority established under Sections 22-21-310 through 22-21-344 will not lose its

tax exempt status by entering into said lease agreement and that it was not necessary for the governing body to be a party to the contract. Attorney General Opinions 2000-057 and 2000-058, dated December 30, 1999 written to Baldwin County Commissioner Frank Burt Jr., and Attorney Thomas W. Underwood of the South Baldwin Health Care Authority.

- **Ad valorem tax revenues** can be spent within any reasonable time as determined by the North Baldwin County Health Care Authority. Attorney General Opinion 2002-113, dated January 4, 2002, written to Attorney Frank K. Grande.
- The Escambia County Health Care Authority can donate to the Town of Flomaton, property formerly used as a small hospital facility. The health care authority will not lose its **tax exempt status** by entering into the **lease agreement**. It is not necessary for the county governing body to be a party to the contract. Office of the Attorney General in Opinion 2003-039, dated November 25, 2002, written to Attorney Broox G. Garrett, Jr.
- The authority's board of directors, not the county commission, exercises the decision of **approving, rejecting, or refraining** from any action upon a presented claim against the authority, its nursing home or two assisted living facilities. Attorney General Opinion 2003-0058, dated December 30, 2002, written to Mary F. Gunter, Attorney for the Henry County Health Care Authority.
- The Health Care Authority of North Alabama may offer its employees **incentive-based compensation** that permits employees to receive additional compensation if they meet certain written goals or standards of performance, provided the incentive-based compensation is prospective in its application, is treated as a regular part of an employee's compensation, is made pursuant to a written policy adopted by the Authority, and is legal consideration offered to an employee in exchange for that employee attaining written goals or standards of performance. Attorney General Opinion 2006-153, dated September 28, 2006, written to Joe W. Campbell, attorney for the Health Care Authority of North Alabama.
- The Henry County Health Care Authority, Inc. is required to **disclose** the **annual salaries** of top-level management executives to the public because such information is a matter of public record pursuant to section 36-12-40 of the Code of Alabama. Attorney General Opinion 2008-004, dated October 2, 2007, to Mary F. Gunter, attorney for the Henry County Health Care Authority, Inc.
- The Colbert County-Northwest Alabama Health Care Authority can contract with the governmental entity responsible for maintaining the public road between Helen Keller Hospital and Keller Medical Park to widen the road if the Authority's board of directors determines the improvement would accomplish a purpose of the Authority. Attorney General Opinion 2008-115, dated July 30, 2008, to James D. Hughston, attorney for the Colbert County-Northwest Alabama Health Care Authority.

- The Henry County Health Care Authority ("Authority") is subject to audit by the State of Alabama pursuant to section 41-5-
- 6(9) of the Code of Alabama. Pursuant to
- section 22-21-4 of the Code, the Authority may choose to have the audit performed by a certified public accountant. That report becomes a matter of public record only after acceptance and approval by the Department of Examiners of Public Accounts.
- Attorney General Opinion 2009-078, dated July 11, 2009, to Lucy L. Baxley, Member of House of Representatives.

8. Ethics Law

Unless specifically excluded by statute, as in the case of health authorities organized under Code of Alabama, Sections 22-21-310, et seq., the Ethics Law, Sections 36-25-1, et seq., is applicable to public hospitals. Section 36-25-5(a) prohibits public officials and employees from using their official position for personal gain. "[N]o public official or public employee shall use or cause to be used equipment, facilities, time, materials, human labor, or other public property under his or her discretion or control for the private benefit or business benefit of the public official, public employee, any other person, or principal campaign committee as defined in Section 17-22A-2, which would materially affect his or her financial interest, except as otherwise provided by law or as provided pursuant to a lawful employment agreement regulated by agency policy ... " Ala. Code §36-25-5(c). There are numerous Ethics Commission Opinions asserting jurisdiction over public hospital corporations.

9. Competitive Bid Law

Purchases for public hospitals and nursing homes operated by the governing boards of instrumentalities of the state, counties, and municipalities are exempted from the State Competitive Bid Law. Code of Alabama, Section 41-16-51(b)(5). Contracts for the enlargement, construction or alteration of public hospital facilities operated by the governing boards of an instrumentality of the states, counties and municipalities are subject to the Bid Law.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINIONS

- The Attorney General has consistently ruled that **contracts for the enlargement, construction, or alteration of public hospital facilities** operated by governing boards of an instrumentality of the state, counties, and municipalities are subject to the Competitive Bid Law. The Opinion referenced a previous Attorney General Opinion dated December 15, 1971 addressed to Thomas Reuben Bell Attorney for the Sylacauga Hospital Board as support. Attorney General Opinion, dated March 8, 1979, to Hoyt Levie, Chairman of the Marshall County Hospital Board.
- Public hospitals established pursuant to Sections 22-21-1 through 22-21-8 have only the powers provided in section 22-21-5 and are not exempt from the provisions of the

Competitive Bid Law found at Sections 41-16-52, et seq. Attorney General Opinion 91-00334, dated July 31, 1991, written to East Regional Medical Center Attorney Allen C. Jones.

- The Dale County Hospital Association is subject to the **State Competitive Bid Law** set forth in Sections 41-16-50, et seq. It was further stated that a project for the renovation of the hospital association's existing facility or construction of a new facility and the selection and employment of a general contractor for said project are subject to the State Competitive Bid Law. On October 27, 1997 Mr. Quattlebaum was informed by letter of the Attorney General that the above-referenced opinion considered only the specific statute inquired about, Sections 41-16-50, et seq. However, Act No. 97-225, which amended Section 41-16-50 and the State's public work laws, Sections 39-1-1, et seq., should be reviewed as they may also apply to the renovation project of a hospital association. Office of the Attorney General, dated July 29, 1997, issued Opinion 97-00238 written to "Dale Medical Center" Attorney Kenneth W. Quattlebaum.

10. Open Meetings Act of 2005

Public hospital corporations are subject to the provisions of Code of Alabama, Sections 36-25A-1, et seq., except health authorities organized under Sections 22-21-310, et seq.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINIONS

- A **county hospital board**, the members of which are appointed by the county governing body, is subject to the Open Meetings Act of 2005. Attorney General Opinion 2006-122, dated August 1, 2006, written to Donald R. Priori, Chairman, Bullock County Hospital Board.
- The board meetings of a health care authority organized under Section 22-21-310, et seq of the Code of Alabama are not subject to the Open Meetings Act of 2005. Attorney General Opinion 2009-006 dated October 21, 2008, written to the Honorable Laura V. Hall, Member of the House of Representatives.

11. Security for Alabama Funds Enhancement (SAFE) Act

Public hospitals incorporated as public bodies (corporations) under the Code of Alabama, Sections 22-21-1 et seq., are subject to the provisions of the Security for Alabama Funds Enhancement (SAFE) Act. The SAFE Program was established by the Alabama Legislature and is governed by the provisions contained in Sections 41-14A-1 through 41-14A-14. All covered public entities as defined under the Act are required to deposit their funds with banks or financial institutions that meet all the requirements of the SAFE Program and have been designated as Qualified Public Depositories (QPDs). These funds are protected through a collateral pool administered by the Alabama State Treasurer's Office.

The financial institutions (QPDs) holding deposits of public funds must pledge securities as collateral against those deposits. In the event of failure of a financial institution, securities pledged by that financial institution would be liquidated by the State Treasurer to replace the public deposits not covered by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation (FDIC). If the securities pledged failed to produce adequate funds, every institution participating in the pool would share the liability for the remaining balance.

The QPD is required to provide an annual statement as of September 30th to each public depositor that summarizes their deposit account relationship and provides balances of deposits. The public depositor is required to verify the deposit account information and notify the QPD within 60 calendar days of receipt of the statement of any inaccuracies.